



Ministry of
Transportation
and Infrastructure

Stage 2 Detailed Proposal

Nahatlatch Provincial Park Boundary Adjustment Application

October 1, 2014

Applicant/Proponent Information and Contact Details

Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure
PO Box 9850 Stn Prov Govt
Victoria, BC
V8W 9T5

Attention:
Garth Stewart, Senior Manager, Aboriginal Relations

Purpose of the Proposal

The Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (“MOTI”) is proposing an adjustment to the boundaries of Nahatlatch Provincial Park (the “Park”), which would result in the removal of approximately 1.2 hectares of land from the Park. Map 1 shows the Nahatlatch Provincial Park Proposed Boundary Adjustment.

The Park is located approximately 25 km north west of Boston Bar. The only road access to the Park is by way of the Cog Harrington Bridge (the “Bridge”), which spans the Fraser River between Boston Bar and North Bend. On the west side of the river Chaumox Road passes through Boston Bar First Nation’s Kopchitchin Indian Reserve No. 2 (the “Reserve”). In short, it is not possible to drive to the Park, without passing through the Reserve.

MOTI maintains Chaumox Road through the Reserve to Chaumox, a former stop on the rail line about 10 km beyond North Bend. After Chaumox, the road becomes Nahatlatch Forest Service Road (“FSR”), which is administered by the Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations. Map 2 shows the Nahatlatch Park Road Access.

MOTI is proposing to include the subject land of this application in an offer to Boston Bar First Nation (“BBFN”), as part of a negotiated agreement to acquire land in the Reserve by way of a federal transfer of Canada’s entire interest. BBFN has indicated that it intends to use the proposed lands in a manner consistent with park purposes.

1. Alternatives to avoid the protected area have been considered

BBFN stated that it wants to acquire waterfront land on Nahatlatch Lakes, before it would agree to giving up land in the Reserve for Chaumox Road. Almost all the waterfront land on Nahatlatch Lakes is in the Park. Therefore, land in the Park will almost certainly be required to conclude a negotiated agreement with BBFN.

On September 26, 2014, Chief Dolores O’Donaghey of BBFN provided a letter of support to MOTI’s park boundary adjustment application (see Appendix 1). The Chief described the connection between her ancestors and the land and waters. She also stated the continued use of the Nahatlatch area by BBFN members to fish, hunt, camp, pick berries, mushrooms and medicinal plants. The Chief stated:

“The Nahatlatch Lake area including Nahatlatch Lake, Hannah Lake and the Nahatlatch River, are in Boston Bar’s traditional territory which we have never ceded or surrendered. As such, Boston Bar retains its aboriginal rights and title interests to the lands, waters and resources which constitute our territory.”

2. Overall economic benefits to the Province have been documented

A number of industrial and commercial users rely on Chaumox Road through the Reserve for their daily business operations. Key users are CNR, CPR, Fraser Valley Regional District, which operates a regional landfill, and a commercial recreation operator that provides rafting adventures on Nahatlatch River.

By successfully concluding a negotiated agreement with BBFN, resulting in a federal transfer of land in the Reserve for Chaumox Road, all users will have certainty of road access to the west side of the Fraser River, including Park users.

3. Social and environmental impacts have been documented

Environment

The proposed boundary adjustment will reduce the 2,009 ha Park by 1.2 ha, or 0.0006%. The subject lands are comprised of two discrete areas – one on each side of the Nahatlatch Subdivision on Hannah Lake. The west tract is undeveloped forested land with low bank waterfront on Hannah Lake. The east tract is a narrow strip of land between Nahatlatch FSR and Hannah Lake. A footpath from the FSR provides access to a small beach and swimming area. This is the only evidence of current recreational use in either of the parcels.

MOTI commissioned Golder Associates Ltd. to conduct and report on an overview environmental assessment (the “OEA Report”) of the subject land of this application. In the OEA Report the subject land is described as follows:

Within the valley of the Nahatlatch River, the majority of the Parks lands are on the south side of the river and its associated lakes, however, a number of smaller, disconnected portions of the Park are on the north side of the valley. The land parcels being considered for the transfer of ownership are among the smaller sections of the Park on the north side of the river. (Golder Associates Ltd., 2014)

The OEA Report concluded that the effects to aquatic and terrestrial habitat will be minimal. The overview was based on the understanding that BBFN will continue to use the land in a manner consistent with park purposes. The report concluded that “should the Boston Bar First Nation choose to use the land for a purpose other than the referenced park uses, an assessment of potential additional effects not addressed in this report should be completed,” (Golder Associates Ltd., 2014).

In 2010, an Archaeological Impact Assessment (“AIA”) was conducted on behalf of BC Parks and the park maintenance contractor, the Boothroyd Indian Band. Infrastructure improvements proposed for the Park included the relocation of three pit toilets: one at the Frances Lake campsite and two at separate locations at the Squakum Creek campground. The AIA identified a single archeological site with low-medium scientific significance at the Frances Lake campsite. No surface or subsurface archeological materials were identified at the Squakum Creek campground sites.

The Archaeology Branch, Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations (“MFLNRO”), has confirmed that there are no known archaeological sites in the vicinity of the subject lands, but the subject lands are in an area of high potential for the

presence of sites. Any alterations to the lands should be preceded by an archaeological review.

Social

MOTI consulted with First Nations, stakeholders, government agencies, and the public, in order to identify the social impacts to the proposed boundary adjustment. Responses from the public to MOTI's draft proposal indicate that the public does not support the reduction of provincial park land. Section 6 provides more details on the public comments.

4. Mitigation and restoration measures have been identified

The OEA Report states that potential effects of the proposed land ownership can be avoided and mitigated by managing the two parcels in a manner consistent with the stated purposes of the Park. As noted above, BBFN intends to use the proposed lands in a manner consistent with park purposes.

If new use or increased usage is proposed, the OEA Report recommends a site-specific approach be developed and implemented to mitigate negative effects. Possible mitigation options are identified for sediment and erosion control, spill prevention and emergency response, waste management, and potential effects on vegetation and wildlife.

MOTI and BC Parks will be discussing compensation for the proposed boundary adjustment. Providing land for addition to park would be one option, but in discussions with BC Parks staff, funding for improvements to the Park would provide greater benefits to Park users than the addition of land to the Park. If this application is successful, Park improvements will include any or more of the following:

- Rehabilitation or upgrades to the access road to the main Park campground
- Upgraded pit toilets to meet BC Parks standards
- Upgraded picnic tables to meet BC Parks standards

5. First Nations have been adequately consulted

The Province has acknowledged the asserted claims of a number of First Nations whose traditional territories overlap the subject land of the application. In addition to BBFN, the following First Nations are listed in British Columbia's Consultative Areas Database, which the Province uses to determine which First Nation to consult:

- Boston Bar First Nation
- Coldwater Indian Band
- Cook's Ferry Indian Band
- Lower Nicola Indian Band
- Lytton First Nation
- Nicola Tribal Association
- Nlaka'pamux Nation Tribal Council
- Nooaitch Indian Band
- Oregon Jack Creek Band
- Siska Indian Band
- Spuzzum First Nation

MOTI mailed three consultation letters to each of the above First Nations. The initial consultation letters, dated March 28, 2014, identified the location of the subject lands, set out the purpose of the application, described the lands proposed for the boundary adjustment, and invited input into how the proposed boundary adjustment and transfer may impact the First Nation's asserted aboriginal interests. MOTI received one response to all of the March 28 letters.

The second consultation letters, dated May 14, 2014, mailed to each of the same First Nations, provided an update that Archaeology Branch had confirmed there are no known archaeological sites in the subject lands, and that MOTI had commissioned an overview environmental assessment, which would hopefully be completed within two weeks. The letters again invited input on how the proposed boundary adjustment and transfer may impact the First Nation's aboriginal interests. MOTI did not receive any responses to the May 14, 2014 letters.

The third consultation letters, dated June 13, 2014, informed the First Nations that the overview environmental assessment had been completed, and MOTI offered to provide a copy of the report. The letters again invited input on how the proposed boundary adjustment and transfer may impact the First Nation's asserted aboriginal interests.

The Nlaka'pamux Nation Tribal Council ("NNTC") wrote to MOTI on July 11, 2014, in response to MOTI's June 13, 2014 consultation letter, and in response to MOTI's letter of July 9, 2014, to Boothroyd Indian Band (see below regarding MOTI's correspondence with Boothroyd Indian Band). NNTC stated that "the Nahatlatch is a critically important area to the Nlaka'pamux".

MOTI replied to NNTC on September 26, 2014. In this letter, MOTI noted that no specific information was provided about why the Nahatlatch is critically important, or how the proposed decision might impact NNTC's asserted aboriginal interests. The letter again invited input on how the proposed boundary adjustment and transfer may impact the First Nation's aboriginal interests. MOTI noted that the final application will be submitted to BC Parks the following week.

On September 26, 2014, BBFN wrote a letter to MOTI to rebut the statements made in NNTC's July 11, 2014, letter. Chief Dolores O'Donaghey stated that BBFN holds the aboriginal rights and title interests for its members. The Chief also stated that the NNTC's letter does not represent BBFN's views.

See Appendix 2 – First Nations Consultation Record for more details.

6. Local communities and stakeholders (including local governments) have been consulted

Boothroyd Indian Band

Boothroyd Indian Band ("BIB") currently holds the Park maintenance contract, and MOTI understands that BIB has held it for a number of years. As such, BIB is considered a key stakeholder.

BIB's asserted traditional territory, as shown in British Columbia's Consultative Areas Database, does not extend to the subject lands. The Management Statement Direction for the Park, under the heading "First Nations Interests", includes the following statement:

- Future involvement of the Boothroyd Band on behalf of the Nlaka'pamux Nation regarding direction and management of the park and protected area.

MOTI mailed an initial letter to BIB on April 7, 2014, addressed to the Park Manager. In this letter, MOTI described the subject lands of the application and invited BIB's input on the proposed boundary adjustment.

MOTI mailed a second letter on May 14, 2014, again addressed to the Park Manager, BIB, at the same address. This second letter was returned to MOTI and marked "Moved/Unknown".

BIB mailed a letter to MOTI dated May 27, 2014. The letter stated that BIB believes in land being exchanged, but the Park land in question does not lie within the Traditional Territory of the BBFN. In addition, the letter stated "that if there is to be a land exchange or adjustment to any land base, that portion of the land should fall within Boston Bar First Nation Traditional Territory."

MOTI wrote to BIB on July 9, 2014 to confirm that BIB's asserted traditional territory as shown in the Consultative Areas Database does not include the subject lands. MOTI invited BIB to provide additional information to the Ministry of Aboriginal Relations and Reconciliation about its asserted traditional territories.

BIB did not respond to MOTI's July 9 letter. Instead, and as noted above, BIB forwarded MOTI's letter to the NNTC for response.

Fraser Valley Regional District

On May 5, 2014, MOTI sent a notification letter to the Fraser Valley Regional District ("FVRD"). FVRD responded on July 3, 2014, that as the proposed changes represent no change in use, the FVRD has no objection. MOTI requested clarification from FVRD on the zoning for the subject parcels in the Nahatlatch area. On July 10, 2014, FVRD replied by email that, since the lands are within Electoral Area "A", any land removed from the Park would come under the jurisdiction of the FVRD bylaw for that area. Bylaw No.0823 currently designates the area surrounding both parcels as R-4 (Rural Resource).

Public Open House

MOTI hosted an Open House from 3:00pm to 7:00pm on Friday, July 11, 2014, at the Anderson Creek Campground, near Boston Bar. Newspaper advertisements for the Open House were published in the Hope Standard for three consecutive weeks before the Open House and in the Lillooet Bridge River News for two weeks before. The Open House provided an opportunity for park users, other stakeholders and local community members to review the proposed boundary adjustment, ask questions of MOTI staff, and provide comments and feedback. Posters with graphics, maps and description were on display, hand-outs were available to take home, and public comment forms were available to fill out or to send in later. Eight individuals attended the Open House,

all of whom are owners or lessees of recreational properties in the Nahatlatch Subdivision.

Nahatlatch Subdivision Owners and Lessees

There are 14 properties in the Nahatlatch Subdivision currently held under leasehold, and 17 properties held in fee-simple ownership. On July 29, 2014, MOTI mailed letters to the known addresses of the owners and lessees of the recreational properties. In its letter, MOTI described the location of the subject lands of the application, provided a link to MOTI's website for access to further information and details on the Stage 2 application, and invited the owner/lessee's comments on the proposed boundary adjustment as part of the public comment period. Two letters were returned, unopened, to MOTI.

Public Comment Period

A public comment period ran from July 10 to August 29, 2014. During this period, MOTI's website provided information related to the proposed park boundary adjustment application, including maps, the OEA and a draft copy of the Detailed Proposal. Comments were invited by email, letter or fax to MOTI or BC Parks.

MOTI received preliminary phone and email inquiries prior to the public comment period. In addition, MOTI received seventeen (17) emails and letters that were submitted in response to the Draft Stage 2 Detailed Proposal.

Of the 17 responses received as part of the public comment period, 16 were from owners or lessees of recreational properties in the Nahatlatch Subdivision. The remaining response was from a member of the general public. No responses were received in support of MOTI's proposal for a boundary adjustment to Nahatlatch Park.

The following themes were documented in the comments:

1. Zoning/land use of land removed from the park

Respondents are concerned over the use of the land if it were to be removed from the park. There is particular opposition to any commercial development in the vicinity of the subdivision properties. Some respondents requested assurances that the land will remain zoned for recreational/seasonal use, and that the land be used consistent with park uses.

FVRD wrote that any land removed from the Park would come under the jurisdiction of the FVRD bylaw for that area. Bylaw No.0823 currently designates the area surrounding both parcels as R-4 (Rural Resource).

2. Impacts to wilderness/environment of Nahatlatch;

Many respondents commented on potential impacts to the natural wilderness and pristine environment of the Nahatlatch Park.

BBFN intends to use the proposed lands in a manner consistent with park purposes.

3. Location and value of exchange lands (North Bend versus Nahatlatch)

Many respondents voiced their concern and confusion regarding the valuation and the equity of the lands being considered for a land exchange with Boston Bar First Nation. It was expressed that the value of commercial road on the Fraser River in North Bend is not equal to waterfront property at Nahatlatch Lake.

See Section 1 of this application.

4. Objection to land being removed from parks.

Many respondents are opposed to any land being removed from any provincial parks in BC, as parks should be preserved for the enjoyment of all British Columbians.

The proposed park adjustment of 1.2 hectares means the total park area of 2,009 hectares will be reduced by 0.0006%.

5. Impacts to an area that people value

The respondents have a close attachment to the Nahatlatch Lake area and do not want their wilderness get-away to be impacted. Many owners and lessees commented on impacts to the value of the subdivision lands from having new neighbours.

BBFN intends to use the proposed lands in a manner consistent with park purposes.

See Appendix 3 – Stakeholder Consultation Record and Appendix 4 – Public Comment Summary for more details.

7. Provincial and Federal Agencies have been consulted

Provincial Government Agencies

On May 1, 2014, MOTI sent consultation letters to the following Provincial Government agencies:

- Manager, Crown Lands and Resources, Regional Operations Division - Coast Area, FLNRO
- Resource Stewardship Manager, Coast Area, FLNRO
- Director, Archaeology Branch, Integrated Resource Operations Division, FLNRO
- Manager, FN Consultation, Regional Operations Division - Coast Area, FLNRO
- District Manager, Regional Operations Division - Coast Area, FLRNO
- Manager, South Coast, Negotiations and Regional Operations Division, MARR
- Recreation Officer, Recreation Sites and Trails Branch, FLNRO
- Section Head, Fish and Wildlife, Regional Operations Division - Coast Area, FLNRO

MOTI received a response from the Director, Archeology Branch, FLNRO, on May 6, 2014 confirming that their records indicated that there are no known archaeological sites in the subject lands, but the subject lands are in an area of high potential for the presence of sites.

MOTI also received a response from the A/Director of Resource Authorizations - South Coast Region, FLNRO, on May 21, 2014, wanting to ensure the Nahatlatch FSR has enough right-of-way excluded to ensure present and future management needs are met.

Electoral Area: Chilliwack-Hope

MOTI has communicated with MLA Laurie Throness, Chilliwack-Hope, regarding the proposed park boundary adjustment application, including: a link to the website; an update about the July 11 Open House; the 45-day public comment period; and the process for incorporating comments received from the public into the final application.

In addition, several emails and letters submitted to MOTI during the public comment period were copied to MLA Throness.

Federal Government Agencies

On May 2, 2014, MOTI sent a consultation letter to Transport Canada – Navigable Waters. MOTI received a response on May 20, 2014, stating that Transport Canada has no interest or comment with regard to the proposed park adjustment.

On June 10, 2014, MOTI sent a consultation letter to Department of Fisheries and Oceans (“DFO”). MOTI received a response on July 4, 2014, stating that DFO does not have a regulatory role related to the review of the boundary adjustment because the application does not directly propose works, undertakings or activities that will result in serious harm to fish

See Appendix 5 – Provincial and Federal Agencies Consultation Record for more details.

8. Conclusion

No regulatory impediments to MOTI’s Stage 2 Park Boundary Application were identified by federal and provincial agencies, including the local government.

No impacts to asserted aboriginal interests were brought forward by any of the First Nations that were consulted.

The proposed park adjustment of 1.2 ha means the total park area of 2,009 ha will be reduced by 0.0006%. Further, the OEA Report stated that the potential effects of the proposed land ownership transfer can be avoided and mitigated by managing the two parcels in a manner consistent with the stated purposes of the Park. BBFN has indicated that it intends to use the proposed lands in a manner consistent with park purposes.

A number of valid concerns were brought to MOTI’s attention during the public comment period, including concerns about future land use, impacts to wildlife and habitat values, continued access to waterfront by cabin owners, and general opposition to park boundary changes.

The Fraser Valley Regional District indicated that the subject lands would fall under the jurisdiction of the FVRD bylaw for Electoral Area “A”, which is Rural Resource. This would be the same zoning as the subdivision properties. As mentioned previously, BBFN has stated in its letter of support for the Stage 2 boundary application, that it intends to use the land consistent with park uses.

References:

Golder Associates Ltd. 2014. Nahatlatch Provincial Park Land Transfer – Overview Environmental Assessment.

Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection. 2002. Management Direction Statement for Nahatlatch Provincial Park and Nahatlatch Protected Area. Available at:
<http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/bcparks/planning/mgmtplns/nahatlatch/nahatlatch%20final%20mds.pdf>. Accessed June 30, 2014.

Attachments:

Map 1 – Nahatlatch Provincial Park Boundary Adjustment

Map 2 – Nahatlatch Park Road Access

Appendix 1 – Letter of Support from Boston Bar First Nation


Appendix 2 – First Nations Consultation Record.

Appendix 3 – Stakeholder Consultation Record


Appendix 4 – Public Comment Summary

Appendix 5 – Provincial and Federal Agencies Consultation Record

Map 1 – Nahatlatch Provincial Park Boundary Adjustment




Map 2 – Nahatlatch Park Road Access



Appendix 1 – Letter of Support from Boston Bar First Nation

BOSTON BAR FIRST NATION

PO Box 369, Boston Bar, BC V0K 1C0
Ph- 604-867-8844 Fx- 604-867-9317
BBARBANDD@UNISERVE.COM



September 26, 2014

Via Email: garth.stewart@gov.bc.ca

Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure
PO Box 9850 Stn Prov Govt
Victoria, BC V8W 9T5

Attention: Garth Stewart

Dear Mr. Stewart:

Re: Boston Bar First Nation's Interests in Nahatlatch Lake Area

This letter is to note the Boston Bar First Nation's interests in certain lands in the Nahatlatch Lake area of Boston Bar's traditional territory, particularly a small portion (approximately 1.5 ha) of the 1,695 ha Nahatlatch Provincial Park that we seek to have removed from the Park to support the development of a lands package that will compensate for the Provincial highway trespass of the Cog Harrington Bridge approach on Boston Bar's Kopchitchin Reserve (IR #2). Boston Bar's reserve has been subject to this trespass for approximately 30 years. The removal of land from the Park will enable the Province to settle the trespass matter through the transfer of land to Boston Bar compensating for the trespass and subsequently the transfer of the reserve lands taken up by the trespass to the Province, regularizing this important access over the Cog Harrington Bridge at Boston Bar for all users. This letter is provided in support of the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure's application to BC Parks for the park boundary adjustment necessary to remove the 1.5 ha parcel from the Park so it can be offered to Boston Bar as part of a lands compensation package. Please note that Boston Bar intends to use these lands in a manner consistent with park purposes.

The Nahatlatch Lake area including Nahatlatch Lake, Hannah Lake and the Nahatlatch River, are in Boston Bar's traditional territory which we have never ceded or surrendered. As such, Boston Bar retains its aboriginal rights and title interests to the lands, waters and resources which constitute our territory.

Our ancestors fished in and travelled on the waters of our territory, including in the Nahatlatch Lake and River area. They harvested numerous resources from our territory, including this area, such as berries, plants for food, medicinal and spiritual purposes, trees, mushrooms, and animals. Hunting and trapping activities were carried out in this area as well. Place names in our language exist throughout the territory, including the Nahatlatch area, which mark our history on and connection to our lands and waters.

00962020

Numerous sacred sites dot our territory, including on and near both Nahatlatch Lake and River. Land and water-based travel routes and campsites were in regular use across the territory as our ancestors engaged in their seasonal rounds. Boston Bar members continue to fish, hunt, camp, pick berries, mushrooms and medicinal plants, and visit the Nahatlatch area, on a regular basis. This area has been and remains an important area to our people and has a high cultural value to us.

Our ability to exercise our rights in this area has been significantly compromised by Crown land leases and dispositions along Nahatlatch Lake, Hannah Lake and the Nahatlatch River. The creation of the Nahatlatch Provincial Park has further adversely affected us, infringing on our rights and title interests. These developments on our lands have taken place without the requisite consultation on and accommodation of our interests and, in most cases, over our objections. In the late 1990s, we expressed, to the Crown, our opposition to proposals to dispose of certain Crown parcels (see March 12, 1999 letter from Ross Douglas of the then BC Assets and Land Corporation to Boston Bar), which were subsequently disposed of. The original disposition of properties on Nahatlatch River, the granting of recreational property leases in the area, and the creation of the Nahatlatch Provincial Park represent breaches by the provincial Crown of its constitutional duties to us and ongoing, historic infringements.

If BC Parks has any questions regarding this letter or what is proposed, we would be pleased to address them.

Sincerely,

BOSTON BAR FIRST NATION


Chief Dolores O'Donaghey

Appendix 2 – First Nations Consultation Record

Date	Type	From	To	Topic
Siska Indian Band				
March 28, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Siska Indian Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	Initial consultation letter
May 14, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Siska Indian Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	2nd consultation letter- update on OEA report and Arch Branch
June 13, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Siska Indian Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	3rd consultation letter – OEA is complete
Cook's Ferry Indian Band				
March 28, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Cook's Ferry Indian Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	Initial consultation letter
May 14, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Cook's Ferry Indian Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	2nd consultation letter- update on OEA report and Arch Branch
June 13, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Cook's Ferry Indian Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	3rd consultation letter – OEA is complete
Lower Nicola Indian Band				
March 28, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Lower Nicola Indian Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	Initial consultation letter
May 14, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Lower Nicola Indian Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	2nd consultation letter- update on OEA report and Arch Branch
June 13,	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Lower Nicola Indian Band, Chief and	3rd consultation letter – OEA is complete

2014			Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	
Lytton First Nation				
March 28, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Lytton First Nation, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	Initial consultation letter
May 14, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Lytton First Nation, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	2nd consultation letter- update on OEA report and Arch Branch
June 13, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Lytton First Nation, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	3rd consultation letter – OEA is complete
Nlaka'pamux Nation Tribal Council				
March 28, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Nlaka'pamux Nation Tribal Council, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	Initial consultation letter
May 14, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Nlaka'pamux Nation Tribal Council, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	2nd consultation letter- update on OEA report and Arch Branch
June 13, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Nlaka'pamux Nation Tribal Council, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	3rd consultation letter – OEA is complete
July 11, 2014	Letter via email (July 24, 2014)	Grand Chief Bob Pasco, NNTC	Garth Stewart, MOTI Cc - NNTC communities Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO Paul Carey, MARR	Re: July 9, 2014 MOTI letter to Boothroyd Indian Band (forwarded by Boothroyd to NNTC), and MOTI letter of June 13, 2014. States that the park boundary application impacts Nlaka'pamux title and rights, and that aboriginal title and rights are held by the nation, not by the Indian Bands. [Note: MOTI did not receive this letter directly from NNTC, but a copy was forwarded by email]

				from MARR on July 28, 2014.]
September 26, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Grand Chief Bob Pasco, NNTC Cc Chief Rick Campbell, Boothroyd Indian Band Chief Dolores O'Donaghey, Boston Bar First Nation Brett Hudson, Senior Parks and Protected Areas Planner, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, Director, Resource Operations, MFLNRO Shane Berg, Regional Director, MARR	Responded to July 11, 2014 letter from NNTC. Noted that NNTC did not provide any specific information about why the land is critically important, or how the proposed decision might impact NNTC's interests. Invited input on how the park boundary adjustment and transfer of lands to BBFN may impact NNTC's aboriginal interests.
Boston Bar First Nation				
September 26, 2014	Letter (via email)	Chief Dolores O'Donaghey, BBFN	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Responding to statements made by the NNTC in its letter to MOTI dated July 11, 2014. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mr. Pasco purports to speak for NNTC bands, one of which is BBFN, but he did not advise or get BBFN's permission to speak for BBFN on this matter. Mr Pasco's letter does not represent BBFN's views. 2. BBFN does not agree that the NNTC holds its aboriginal rights and title interests; BBFN holds these rights for its members; BBFN does have a traditional territory for its members, which is registered in CAD. Other bands associated with NNTC also have territories registered in CAD. 3. BBFN is not signatory to the SDM agreement between the Province and NNTC; referrals and consultation on Crown decisions affecting BBFN's territory must be undertaken directly with BBFN and not under the SDM agreement. 4. BBFN has never given a mandate or any authority to the NNTC to speak for BBFN on general terms

				5. BBFN has a strong and legitimate claim to rights and title in the Nahatlatch Lake and River area.
Nicola Tribal Association				
March 28, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Nicola Tribal Association, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	Initial consultation letter
April 7, 2014	Letter (via email)	Rick Yellow Horn, Nicola Tribal Association (Tmix Research)	Garth Stewart, MOTI Cc's to Nooaitch, Shackan, and Nicomen Indian Bands	NTA letter sent "without prejudice" and "is not considered consultation"
April 11, 2014	Letter (via email)	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Rick Yellow Horn, Nicola Tribal Association (Tmix Research) Cc's to Brett Hudson - BC Parks, Alec Drysdale – FLNRO, Nooaitch, Shackan, and Nicomen Indian Bands	Response – notes that consultation has to be on the record. MOTI can't respond to inquiries or comment until it is assured that consultation is on the record.
May 16, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Nicola Tribal Association, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	Follow up to April 11 letter, and 2nd consultation letter- update on OEA report and Arch Branch
June 13, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Nicola Tribal Association, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	3rd consultation letter – OEA is complete
Oregon Jack Creek Band				
March 28, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Oregon Jack Creek Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	Initial consultation letter
May 14, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Oregon Jack Creek Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	2nd consultation letter- update on OEA report and Arch Branch
June 13, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Oregon Jack Creek Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	3rd consultation letter – OEA is complete
Spuzzum First Nation				
March 28,	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Spuzzum First Nation, Chief and Council	Initial consultation letter

2014			Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	
May 14, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Spuzzum First Nation, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	2nd consultation letter- update on OEA report and Arch Branch
June 13, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Spuzzum First Nation, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	3rd consultation letter – OEA is complete
Nooaitch Indian Band				
March 28, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Nooaitch Indian Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	Initial consultation letter
May 14, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Nooaitch Indian Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	2nd consultation letter- update on OEA report and Arch Branch
June 13, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Nooaitch Indian Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	3rd consultation letter – OEA is complete
Coldwater Indian Band				
March 28, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Coldwater Indian Band, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	Initial consultation letter
May 14, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Coldwater, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	2nd consultation letter- update on OEA report and Arch Branch
June 13, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Coldwater, Chief and Council Cc - Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	3rd consultation letter – OEA is complete

Appendix 3 – Stakeholder Consultation Record

Date	Type	From	To	Topic
Boothroyd Indian Band				
April 7, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Park Manager, Boothroyd Indian Band Cc – Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial consultation letter
May 14, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Park Manager, Boothroyd Indian Band Cc – Brett Hudson, BC Parks Alec Drysdale, FLNRO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2nd consultation letter- update on OEA report and Arch Branch Returned unopened, wrong address (May 26)
May 27, 2014	Letter	Chief Rick Campbell, Boothroyd Indian Band	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes importance of Nahatlatch Valley to the Nlaka’pamux Tribe and Nlaka’pamux Nation Tribal Council. Land in Nahatlatch is not acceptable for land exchange with BBFN because it does not fall within BBFN’s traditional territory. Nahatlatch Valley is a major portion of Boothroyd’s territory, that if there is a land exchange, that land should fall within BBFN territory.
July 9, 2014	Letter via fax	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Chief Rick Campbell	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that the Province uses the Consultative Area Database to determine which First Nations to consult; CAD shows the subject lands within BBFN traditional territory, and outside Boothroyd territory. Invites Boothroyd to submit information to Province about its asserted territories.
Local Government - Fraser Valley Regional District				
May 5, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Margaret-Ann Thornton, Director of Planning & Development – FVRD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial notification letter

Date	Type	From	To	Topic
			Cc - Brett Hudson - BC Parks	
July 3, 2013	Email	Adam Lougheed, Planning Assistant, FVRD	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed changes represent no change in use, the FVRD has no objection.
July 10, 2014	Email	Adam Lougheed Planning Assistant, FVRD	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Area is within the boundaries of Electoral Area "A", Any land removed from the Park would immediately come under the jurisdiction of Fraser Valley Regional District bylaw. Applicable bylaw for that area (No.0823) designates the area surrounding both parcels as R-4 (Rural Resource)."
Owners and Lessees of Recreational Lots @ Nahatlatch Subdivision				
June 27, 2014	Email	Lessee	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inquired about a website with information and way to provide comment; indicated won't be able to attend Open House
June 27, 2014	Email	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Lessee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Responded to June 27 email, provided website link and described the process for submitting comments.
June 27, 2014	Voicemail	Owner	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inquired about the website link
July 2, 2014	Voicemail	Owner	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Called with some questions regarding the park adjustment
July 3, 2014	Phone call	Lessee	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Called to get Garth's email address; had some concerns
July 4, 2014	Phone call	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Lessee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Follow up to the brief conversation of July 3. Comments included: 1) why remove from within park? Don't want to start a precedent; 2) eastern tract is useless; if going to give anything, give them the west; 3) keep public access to water
July 4, 2014	Phone call	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Lessee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Follow up to email exchange of June 27. Comments included: 1) Feels that the waterfront on lake is much higher value than the property being acquired for Chaumox Rd; 2) concerns re: zoning – don't want big development or structures; 3) concerns of how the land will be used by the potential owners; 4)

Date	Type	From	To	Topic
				subdivision owners and lessees are feeling anxious.
July 4, 2014	Phone call	Owner	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No major concerns; wondered about the zoning.
July 4, 2014	Phone call	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Follow up to voicemail of July 2. Comments included: 1) Wanting to maintain wilderness get-away of their recreation property; 2) concern re: development.
July 29, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	All known addresses of owners and lessees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informing owners/lessees of application for boundary adjustment of Nahatlatch Park; provided website address for more information, invitation to provide comment that will be incorporated into final proposal.
August 28, 2014	Returned letter			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter to owner returned – MOVED
September 3, 2014	Returned letter			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter to owner returned

Appendix 4 – Public Comment Summary

	Date	Type	From	To	Topic
1	July 9, 2014	Email and letter	Owner	Garth Stewart, MOTI Danielle Cunningham, FLNRO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would like to know if band plans to commercialize • Asks if current zoning for “residential” will remain the same, • Asks if the road will remain open with no problems of blockades. • Wishes to maintain tranquil natural setting
2	July 16, 2014	Email	Relative of owner	Garth Stewart, MOTI MLA Laurie Throness, Chilliwack-Hope Global TV BC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to the land exchange – believes it is unfair and ill-conceived • Questions differing value between land at North Bend and waterfront at Nahatlatch • Estimates that a waterfront lot in the area has substantial value, while the encroached land has little value • Concern for the possible land uses, that land may be used for commercial purposes • Concern for change to serenity of wilderness property • Concern the “monetary and sentimental value of my property will be diminished”
3	July 20, 2014	Email	Owner	Garth Stewart, MOTI MLA Laurie Throness, Chilliwack-Hope	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong opposition to the proposal • Objects to any reduction of park land and waterfront available for everyone’s enjoyment • Location and value of exchange lands (North Bend versus Nahatlatch) • Concern for future land use of lands taken out of park • Would like assurance that no commercial uses would be permitted • Requests Nahatlatch Park be left as is for the quiet enjoyment of BC citizens
4	July 30, 2014	Email	Lessee	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feels left out of public consultation • Wishes to be kept up to date on progress of the application • Jealous that others (stakeholders and First Nations) had been kept informed
5	August 6, 2014	Email	Relative of owner	Garth Stewart, MOTI MLA Laurie Throness, Chilliwack-Hope	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to proposal • Provides his perspective on the state of negotiations - government trying to placate “North Bend Band” in its haste to correct the issue, government is mishandling it now • Believes the land should remain as park • Concern for change to serenity of wilderness property • Location and number of parcels of exchange lands (North Bend versus Nahatlatch) • Suggests using land closer to North Bend. • Raises questions regarding the process and future conditions as land owners • Expresses feelings of uncertainty of land as possible reserve land • Feels the proposal has been hidden from public eye

6	August 9, 2014	Letter via email	Lessee	Garth Stewart, MOTI Danielle Cunningham, FLNRO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disagree with the proposed removal of two segments of land from the park • Concerns with how the land will be used • Believe it is unacceptable to remove land from any BC Park - land is set aside for enjoyment of BC residents and tourists, recreational use, and to preserve environment and wildlife habitat • Demonstrates that the BC Government does not respect the sanctity of park land • Concern regarding precedent for future withdrawal of park land • Recognizes the need to find compensating land for Boston Bar First Nation • East parcel is separated from rest of park – withdrawal of this parcel would have little impact on the park or recreational use of park • West parcel is in an area where camping does occur – suggests the piece of Crown land between subdivision and the park • Suggests other options for land exchange downstream on river front, or non-waterfront subdivision parcels • Concern over zoning – imperative lots are only recreational to preserve local environment and to retain property values in the subdivision • Frustrated they cannot buy their lease land, but government is giving the land away • Requests government offer the land for purchase by the lessees • Requests written notification of the final decision and the date any changes will be made to the park boundary
7	August 19, 2014	Email	Member of the public	Garth Stewart, MOTI Minister Mary Polak, BC Ministry of Environment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly opposed to using park land in BC as a bargaining chip with any group • Feels that park land is for all Canadians to use and enjoy in perpetuity • Describes environmental qualities of Nahatlatch that should be protected – all lakeshore and river banks should be kept under public stewardship • Using land consistent with park uses is open for interpretation, which could disturb critically important ecological preserve • Suggests other land outside the park should be used, Crown land not park land
8	August 26, 2014	Email	Owners	Garth Stewart, MOTI Laurie Throness, MLA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposed to the park boundary adjustment • Location and value of exchange lands – land should be used at North Bend, not the Park • Suggests other more suitable parts of the park (Salmon Beach or Squakum beach camp), rather than on each side of the cabin owners • Concern that the land values will be jeopardized by having “band land” on both sides of cabins
9	August 26, 2014	Email	Unconfirmed lessee/cabin owner	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposed to the land swap • Concern for commercial activities that would adversely affect existing cabins and park • Location and value of exchange lands - North Bend high above the Fraser River for lake side land in the Nahatlatch Valley • Concern for how this process has been conducted to date, including level of consultation with the land owners on the negotiations • Concern regarding access to his property – the purchase of the lease did not indicate possibility of restricted access to the property • Their property “is extremely valuable to our families as a place of peace and quiet” • Requests open communication, transparent process and public engagement

10	August 27, 2014	Letter via email	Lessee	Garth Stewart, MOTI Brett Hudson, BC Parks MLA Peter Fassbender, Surrey-Fleetwood MLA Laurie Throness, Chilliwack-Hope Danielle Cunningham, FLNRO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly opposed to the proposal • Location and value of exchange lands (North Bend versus Nahatlatch) • Opposed to 2 prime, pristine waterfront parcels being exchanged for property in North Bend • Believes that compensating Boston Bar First Nation should not be at expense of park users - park was put in place for BC citizens to enjoy • Land exchange should be land of equivalent value and in similar location
11	August 28, 2014	Email	Owner	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disappointed with apparent lack of consultation with lot owners and lessees • Strongly oppose removal of park land to satisfy land claim • Strongly oppose consideration of prime/pristine waterfront park land to resolve claim • Concerned about land being offered on either end of cabin community • Strongly recommend alternative lands be considered • Concern for future land use by band – would like assurances as cabin owners that their long term investments will not be at risk • If park parcels are used, suggests road allowance situated between cabin community and band land - allows mountain side cabin owners access to waterfront • Questions differing land value between land at North Bend and waterfront at Nahatlatch • “Value of the land transfer is exponentially higher than the value of the land the bridge is built upon” • Expects guarantees of no road blocks • Interested in outcome of proposal
12	August 28, 2014	Email	Owner	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not support the proposal • Boothroyd Indian Band is not in support; does not understand how proposal would proceed with one of the parties not in agreement
13	August 29, 2014	Email	Owner	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns over the proposal • Land use is the main issue • Environment report concludes increased disturbance could impact wildlife • Cabins are remote with no utility or community services, such as fire response – more people means more risk • Choice to remove park land is odd • Would like to ensure impacts to environment and subdivision be considered • Location and value of exchange lands (North Bend versus Nahatlatch) do not seem comparable
14	August 29, 2014	Email	Unconfirmed property/cabin owner	Garth Stewart, MOTI MLA Laurie Throness, Chilliwack-Hope Brett Hudson, BC Parks Danielle Cunningham, FLNRO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern for proposed boundary change • Concern for exchange land values and designated land use • If implemented as proposed, will sow seeds of distrust and animosity towards government and towards “those that will unduly benefit from the exchange” • Urges reconsideration
15	August 29, 2014	Email	Lessee	Garth Stewart, MOTI Brett Hudson, BC Parks MLA Laurie Throness, Chilliwack-Hope	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly disagree with the proposal • Location and value of exchange lands (North Bend versus Nahatlatch) • Believes the area should stay the same with no changes to park boundaries or use

16	August 29, 2014	Email	Lessee	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns for any situation where provincial park land is used for land exchange or other purpose – how can public be secure in knowing designated park land will remain as such • Location and value of exchange lands (North Bend versus Nahatlatch) • Concern for zoning, looking for assurance that it will remain seasonal residential and not for commercial gains • Does not see much benefit from either of the parcels • Believes lessees should be given opportunity to buy the land • Suggests other exchange lands be used • Believes the park should be left intact for enjoyment of all
17	August 30, 2014	Email	Lessee	Garth Stewart, MOTI MLA Laurie Throness, Chilliwack-Hope Brett Hudson, BC Parks Danielle Cunningham, FLNRO MLA Peter Fassbender, Surrey-Fleetwood	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disagrees with proposal • Both parcels have spawning areas for salmon and have remained untouched • Suggests consideration of other land

Appendix 5 – Provincial and Federal Agencies Consultation Record

Date	Type	From	To	Topic
Provincial agencies				
May 1, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Keith Anderson, Manager, Crown Lands and Resources, Regional Operations Division - Coast Area, FLNRO Cc – Senior Parks and Protected Area Planner - BC Parks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial consultation letter
May 1, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Scott Barrett, Resource Stewardship Manager, Coast Area, FLNRO Cc – Senior Parks and Protected Area Planner - BC Parks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial consultation letter
May 1, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Justine Batten, Director, Archaeology Branch, Integrated Resource Operations Division, FLNRO Cc – Senior Parks and Protected Area Planner - BC Parks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial consultation letter
May 1, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Kevin Haberl, Manager, FN Consultation, Regional Operations Division - Coast Area, FLNRO Cc – Senior Parks and Protected Area Planner - BC Parks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial consultation letter
May 1, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Allan Johnsrude, District Manager, Regional Operations Division - Coast Area, FLRNO Cc – Senior Parks and Protected Area Planner - BC Parks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial consultation letter
May 1, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Yvette Lizee, Manager, South Coast, Negotiations and Regional Operations Division, MARR Cc – Senior Parks and Protected Area Planner - BC Parks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial consultation letter
May 1, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Mike Peters, Recreation Officer, Recreation Sites and Trails Branch	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial consultation letter

Date	Type	From	To	Topic
			Cc – Senior Parks and Protected Area Planner - BC Parks	
May 1, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	John Van Hove, Section Head, Fish and Wildlife, Regional Operations Division - Coast Area, FLNRO Cc – Senior Parks and Protected Area Planner - BC Parks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial consultation letter
May 6, 2014	Email	Justine Batten, Director, Archeology Branch, FLNRO	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No known archaeological sites in the AOIs It is in an area of high potential for the presence of sites.
May 21, 2014	Email	Allan Johnsrude, District Manager, FLNRO	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ensure FSR has enough R/W excluded to meet present and future management needs contact Jeff Ladd, District Engineering Officer, for any details on FSR R/W needs
Federal agencies				
May 2, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	John Mackie, Navigable Water Protection Officer, Transport Canada Cc – Senior Parks and Protected Area Planner - BC Parks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial consultation letter
May 2, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Terri Bonnet, Aboriginal Affairs Advisor, Fisheries & Oceans Canada (DFO) Cc – Senior Parks and Protected Area Planner - BC Parks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial consultation letter
May 20, 2014	Email	John Mackie, Navigable Water Protection Officer, Transport Canada	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transport Canada has no interest or comment with proposed park adjustment
May 28, 2014	Letter	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Terri Bonnet, Aboriginal Affairs Advisor, DFO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Second consultation, letter requesting feedback
June 10, 2014	Email	Terri Bonnet, Aboriginal	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not the correct contact person, please

Date	Type	From	To	Topic
		Affairs Advisor, DFO		<p>forward to: Triage & Planning Unit Fisheries Protection Program Ecosystem Management Branch Fisheries and Oceans Canada 200 - 401 Burrard Street Vancouver, British Columbia V6C 3S4 Telephone: Toll free 1-866-845-6776 Email: ReferralsPacific@dfo-mpo.gc.ca</p>
June 10, 2014	Letter (via email)	Garth Stewart, MOTI	Triage and Planning Unit, DFO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Initial consultation letter
July 4, 2014	Email	Chantelle Caron, Fisheries Protection Biologist, DFO	Garth Stewart, MOTI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DFO does not have a regulatory role because park boundary application does not directly propose works, undertakings or activities that will result in serious harm to fish.