## Executive Summary

Echo Lake
2004
A stocking assessment was conducted at Echo Lake on October 26, 2004 to determine the status of the fishery and assess the amount of ongoing natural recruitment from fertile eastern brook trout that were stocked before 1997. The management goal for Echo Lake is to maintain an above average quality eastern brook trout fishery. Echo Lake is 37 ha and is situated 20 km SSE of Fort St. James. Echo Lake has special Regional regulations with a daily quota of two brook trout, one over 40 cm in length, and no power boats.

Two standard sinking gillnets 90 m in length (standard mesh) were set on October 26, 2004. The total sampling effort was 25 hours resulting in a gillnet catch per unit effort (CPUE) of 8.03 fish per hour. At this time the brook trout population appears to be providing for a trophy angling experience with $42 \%$ of the fish exceeding 400 mm and $20 \%$ exceeding 500 mm in length. In addition, there appears to be a large number of fish in the lake available for capture. There is evidence of natural recruitment occurring as many of the fish sampled were noted to be reproductive (only sterile brook trout are currently stocked). As well, two-hundred brook trout captured during the survey indicates there is a large population of fish in the lake. This poses a serious problem for effective fisheries management of Echo Lake due to the concerns regarding naturalized brook trout populations. There is a considerable risk associated with hybridization with "blue-listed" bull trout if any of these fish are moved to nearby systems such as the Stuart or Nechako Rivers. Furthermore, the fisheries management objective of maintaining a trophy fishery may be compromised as fish growth could become substantially reduced if densities of fertile brook trout increase. Brook trout will all be marked beginning in 2005 and a follow-up assessment will be completed in the fall of 2007 to determine the amount of natural recruitment. Additional management strategies will then be implemented if necessary. Echo Lake should also be given priority for angler creel/satisfaction surveys. The 2004 stock assessment crew provided limited biological information on fertile brook trout captured in the sample. The quality of the current information could therefore be improved by a well designed creel survey.


Figure 1. Photo of Echo Lake in October of 2004. Float tube angler in 1998 with a trophy size brook trout (inset).

## OMINECA REGION <br> LAKE STOCK ASSESSMENT REPORT

| LAKE NAME: Echo Lake |  | BC WBID: | 00438stur |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| LAKE LOCATION: | Nearest center: | 20 km SSE Fort St. James | Drainage: | FRASER |
|  | UTM: | 10.420713 .6010406 |  |  |
| LAKE ATTRIBUTES: | Surface Area: | 33.7 Ha | Elevation: | 845 m |
|  | Littoral Area: | 20 Ha | T.D.S.: | 50 ppm |
|  | Max Depth: | 13.7 m | Mean depth: | 5.4 m |

## MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVE:

| Objective 1 | Family Fishery $($ High CPUE $<30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Objective 2 | Average Quality $(30-40 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| Objective 3 | Above Average $(40-50 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| Objective 4 | Trophy $(20 \%>50 \mathrm{~cm}$ for RB, $20 \%>40 \mathrm{~cm}$ for EB) |

## MANAGEMENT/SURVEY HISTORY:

Previous gill net assessment(s): no $\square$ yes $\square$ Little 1984; Lakes Files 1988; BCCF 2004 Year(s) Surveyed: 1984; 1988; 2004

STOCKING DATA:

| Current Stocking Rate | $89 \quad$ Fish/Ha | Annually |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Stock Type | AYLMER AF3N |  |
| Species | EB, LKC |  |
| Previous Stocking Rate | 89 |  |

## SURVEY METHODS:

| Method |  | Date (yy.mm.dd) | Survey Agency | Crew |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Fish | SGN | $2004-10-25$ | BCCF | Chad Robertson, Kevin Mernickle |
| Chem. | DO, Cond | $2004-10-25$ |  |  |
| Physical bathymetric |  |  |  |  |
| Temp. | profile | $2004-10-25$ |  |  |
| Netting Specs: | Net type: | Standard Experimental |  | Net length: <br> Setting: |
|  | Sinking | Panel Mesh: |  |  |

SURVEY RESULTS:
Catch

|  | RB | EB | RSC | LKC | LSU | CSU | NSC | CAS | BT | LT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 0 | 198 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Survey Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effort Hours | 24.66 | 0.66 |  |
| RB CUE: | 0.00 | 0.00 | RB/Net Hour |
| EB CUE: | 8.03 | 45.45 | EB/Net Hour |
| \# of Sets: | 2 | 1 |  |

## SURVEY CONCLUSIONS:

|  | Objectives Achieved |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Objective | Yes | No | Reason |
| 1. Family | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| 2. Average | $\square$ | $\square$ | Exceeded expectations |
| 3. Above Average | $\square$ |  |  |
| 4. Trophy | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |

## RECOMMENDATIONS:

Assessment: The next assessment for Echo Lake is scheduled for 2007 to determine the level of natural recruitment in the lake.

## Management: Brook trout will be marked with an adipose fin clip beginning in 2005.

COMMENTS: The management object of maintaining a trophy fishery at Echo Lake may be compromised if significant natural recruitment is occurring, and the fertile population expands. There is also a threat to the biodiversity of native fish stocks if reproductive brook trout escape or are physically moved from Echo Lake into other aquatic habitats.

Uncertainties: 1988 CPUE is suspect as equal sample size recorded for each age-class (catch may have been sub-sampled). 2004 catch was sub-sampled for lengths, weights and maturity.

## Recent Brood Request Comments:

2005 Annual. Assessed '04. Excellent growth. Natural recruitment. Mark all hatchery fish and re-assess in '08

## History of Angling Regulations

Brook trout daily quota $=2$ (only one over 40 cm , bait ban, single-barbless hook), power boat restriction.

Reported by: Adrian Clarke
Date: Mar-05

Table 1. EB Physical Attributes for Sample Years

| Sample Year | Sample <br> Size | Length (mm) |  |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  |  | Condition (k) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Var |
| 2004 | 45 | 342 | 139 | 546 | 125.9 | 818 | 26 | 2975 | 717.6 | 1.31 | 0.89 | 1.83 | 0.26 | 0.07 |
| 1988 | 30 | 294 | 178 | 410 | 125.9 | 469 | 50 | 990 | 375.7 | 1.34 | 0.89 | 1.79 | 0.23 | 0.05 |
| 1900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 2. Catch summary for all sample years.

| Sample <br> Year | Sample |  |  | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  |  | Condition (k) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Min | Max | StdDev | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Var |
| 2004 | 1 | 13 | 171 | 139 | 222 | 24.5 | 52 | 26 | 107 | 23.3 | 0.97 | 0.89 | 1.04 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 1988 | 1 | 15 | 208 | 178 | 235 | 17.0 | 108 | 50 | 150 | 31.0 | 1.17 | 0.89 | 1.53 | 0.2 | 0.03 |
| 2004 | 2 | 13 | 334 | 304 | 361 | 18.6 | 511 | 370 | 640 | 85.1 | 1.37 | 1.18 | 1.50 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| 1988 | 2 | 15 | 380 | 353 | 410 | 15.0 | 830 | 675 | 990 | 108.2 | 1.50 | 1.30 | 1.79 | 0.1 | 0.02 |
| 2004 | 3 | 11 | 445 | 432 | 476 | 14.7 | 1312 | 1150 | 1500 | 106.3 | 1.50 | 1.21 | 1.64 | 0.1 | 0.02 |
| 2004 | 4 | 4 | 479 | 455 | 498 | 17.9 | 1780 | 1530 | 1940 | 188.5 | 1.62 | 1.53 | 1.74 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| 2004 | 5 | 3 | 508 | 461 | 546 | 43.2 | 2058 | 1190 | 2975 | 893.5 | 1.50 | 1.21 | 1.83 | 0.3 | 0.10 |
| 2004 | 6 | 1 | 501 |  |  |  | 1760 |  |  |  | 1.40 |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Proportion of Catch (by survey year)

| Survey Year | 2004 | 1988 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Less than 240 mm | $27 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Between $240-360 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $29 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| Between $240-400 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $31 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| Greater than 400 mm | $42 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Greater than 500 mm | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ |



Figure 2. Length weight power relationship.


Figure 3. Length frequency distribution. Age brackets apply to 2004 data only. Dashed line indicates approximate 3+ age class.


Table 4. Stocking History for Echo Lake to 2004.

| Release Date | Species <br> Name | Fish Count | Stock | Mark | Average <br> Size (gm) | Life Cycle <br> Stage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Jun-04 | EB | 3000 | AYLMER AF3N | 7 | FINGERLING |  |
| 11-Jun-03 | EB | 3000 | AYLMER AF3N | 6.59 | FINGERLING |  |
| 22-Jun-02 | EB | 3000 | AYLMER AF3N | 11.04 | FINGERLING |  |
| 5-Jun-01 | EB | 3000 | AYLMER AF3N | 7.84 | FINGERLING |  |
| 31-May-00 | EB | 3000 | AYLMER AF3N | 4.78 | FINGERLING |  |
| 1-Jun-99 | EB | 3000 | AYLMER AF3N | 5.9 | FINGERLING |  |
| 28-May-98 | EB | 5000 | AYLMER 3N | 4.26 | FINGERLING |  |
| 18-Jun-97 | EB | 3000 | AYLMER | 3.01 | FINGERLING |  |
| 31-May-96 | EB | 5000 | AYLMER 3N | 3.85 | FINGERLING |  |
| 8-Jun-95 | EB | 5000 | AYLMER | 3.92 | FINGERLING |  |
| 12-Jun-94 | EB | 5000 | AYLMER | 3.81 | FINGERLING |  |
| 11-Jun-93 | EB | 5000 | AYLMER | 4.37 | FINGERLING |  |
| 27-May-92 | EB | 5000 | AYLMER | 2.38 | FINGERLING |  |
| 7-Jun-91 | EB | 5000 | AYLMER | 3.12 | FINGERLING |  |
| 21-Jun-90 | EB | 5000 | AYLMER | 4.4 | FINGERLING |  |
| 14-Jun-89 | EB | 5000 | AYLMER | 2.9 | FRY |  |
| 1-Jun-88 | EB | 10000 | AYLMER | 2.7 | UNKNOWN |  |
| 1-Jun-87 | EB | 10000 | AYLMER | 1.9 | UNKNOWN |  |

Table 5. Dissolved Oxygen/ Temperature Profile

| 16-Oct- <br> Depth (m) | DO | Temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 26-Oct-04 <br> Depth ( m ) | DO mg/L | DO \%sat | Temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | pH | Cond ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 11.8 | 5.2 | 0 | 8.82 | 68.4 | 4.95 | 7.1 |  |
| 1 | 11.8 | 5.2 | 1 | 8.31 | 64.8 | 4.96 | 7.1 |  |
| 2 | 11.9 | 5.2 | 2 | 8.28 | 64.2 | 4.96 | 7.1 |  |
| 3 | 11.9 | 5.2 | 3 | 8.41 | 65.7 | 4.96 | 7.1 | 69 |
| 4 | 11.8 | 5.3 | 4 | 8.38 | 65.0 | 4.97 | 7.1 | 65 |
| 5 | 11.8 | 5.1 | 5 | 8.29 | 65.1 | 4.97 | 7.1 | 61 |
| 6 | 11.6 | 5.2 | 6 | 8.32 | 65.3 | 4.96 | 7.1 | 60 |
| 7 | 11.6 | 5.1 | 7 | 8.29 | 65.1 | 4.95 | 7.1 | 60 |
| 8 | 11.7 | 5.1 | 8 | 8.24 | 64.8 | 4.93 | 7.1 | 61 |
| 9 | 11.7 | 5 | 9 | 7.21 | 57.0 | 5.09 | 7.1 | 68 |
| 10 | 11.7 | 5 | 10 | 2.35 | 21.6 | 5.54 | 6.9 | 82 |
| 11 | 11.8 | 4.9 | 11 | 6.72 | 52.3 | 5.55 | 6.6 | 73 |
| 12 | 11.6 | 5 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 11.6 | 4.8 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | <2 | 4.9 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Stock assessment data for 2004 (see lakes files for additional survey data).

| Lake | Sample\# | Site | Species Caught | Age | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { (mm) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Weight (grams) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Condition } \\ \text { (k) } \end{gathered}$ | Scale Age | Structure | Sex | Ageing Comments | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Echo | 1 | 1 | EB | 5 | 546 | 2975 | 1.8 | 5+ | OT | F | vague 5th annulus | small skein of eggs/large fat fish |
| Echo | 2 | 1 | EB | 5 | 517 | 2010 | 1.5 | $5+$ | OT | F |  | abundant loose eggs |
| Echo | 3 | 1 | EB | 3 | 451 | 1500 | 1.6 | 3+ | OT | AF3N |  | bright/fat fish |
| Echo | 4 | 1 | EB | 6 | 501 | 1760 | 1.4 | 6+ | OT | AF3N | translucent | dark fish with red undersides |
| Echo | 5 | 1 | EB | 3 | 436 | 1310 | 1.6 | 3+ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 6 | 1 | EB | 5 | 461 | 1190 | 1.2 | 5+ | OT | M |  | small milt pouch present |
| Echo | 7 | 1 | EB | 3 | 445 | 1290 | 1.5 | $3+$ | OT | AF3N |  | bright fish |
| Echo | 8 | 1 | EB | 4 | 484 | 1740 | 1.5 | 4+ | OT | AF3N | translucent |  |
| Echo | 9 | 1 | EB | 4 | 498 | 1940 | 1.6 | 4+ | OT | AF3N | translucent |  |
| Echo | 10 | 1 | EB | 2 | 327 | 520 | 1.5 | 2+ | OT | M |  | small milt pouches present |
| Echo | 11 | 1 | EB | 2 | 340 | 590 | 1.5 | 2+ | OT | M |  | small milt pouches present |
| Echo | 12 | 1 | EB | 2 | 361 | 590 | 1.3 | 2+ | OT | AF3N |  | no evidence of sex |
| Echo | 13 | 1 | EB | 1 | 143 | 28 | 1.0 | 1++ | OT | AF3N |  | small bright fish |
| Echo | 14 | 1 | EB | 1 | 178 | 56 | 1.0 | 1++ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 15 | 1 | EB | 1 | 192 | 64 | 0.9 | 1++ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 16 | 2 | EB | 3 | 432 | 1280 | 1.6 | 3+ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 17 | 2 | EB | 1 | 177 | 54 | 1.0 | 1++ | OT | AF3N |  | small bright fish |
| Echo | 18 | 2 | EB | 1 | 166 | 46 | 1.0 | 1++ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 19 | 2 | EB | 2 | 339 | 460 | 1.2 | 2+ | OT | AF3N |  | bright fish |
| Echo | 20 | 2 | EB | 2 | 319 | 470 | 1.4 | 2+ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 21 | 2 | EB | 3 | 476 | 1400 | 1.3 | $3+$ | OT | AF3N |  | fat fish/bright |
| Echo | 22 | 2 | EB | 4 | 479 | 1910 | 1.7 | 4+ | OT | AF3N |  | fat bright fish |
| Echo | 23 | 2 | EB | 4 | 455 | 1530 | 1.6 | 4+ | OT | AF3N |  | bright fish |
| Echo | 24 | 2 | EB | 1 | 151 | 33 | 1.0 | 1++ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 25 | 2 | EB | 1 | 178 | 53 | 0.9 | 1++ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 26 | 2 | EB | 1 | 153 | 32 | 0.9 | 1++ | OT | M |  | small dark fish |
| Echo | 27 | 2 | EB | 3 | 452 | 1460 | 1.6 | 3+ | OT | AF3N |  | slightly dark colouration |
| Echo | 28 | 2 | EB | 1 | 222 | 107 | 1.0 | 1++ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 29 | 2 | EB | 1 | 188 | 69 | 1.0 | 1++ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 30 | 2 | EB | 3 | 434 | 1340 | 1.6 | 3+ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 31 | 2 | EB | 1 | 194 | 74 | 1.0 | 1++ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 32 | 2 | EB | 2 | 304 | 370 | 1.3 | 2+ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 33 | 2 | EB | 2 | 341 | 540 | 1.4 | 2+ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 34 | 2 | EB | 2 | 350 | 630 | 1.5 | 2+ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 35 | 2 | EB | 2 | 311 | 430 | 1.4 | 2+ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 36 | 2 | EB | 2 | 314 | 440 | 1.4 | 2+ | OT | M |  | small male gametes present/dark |
| Echo | 37 | 2 | EB | 3 | 434 | 1150 | 1.4 | 3+ | OT | F |  | small skein of mixed sized eggs |
| Echo | 38 | 2 | EB | 2 | 348 | 530 | 1.3 | 2+ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 39 | 2 | EB | 3 | 432 | 1250 | 1.6 | 3+ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 40 | 2 | EB | 2 | 324 | 430 | 1.3 | 2+ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 41 | 2 | EB | 1 | 139 | 26 | 1.0 | 1++ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 42 | 2 | EB | 1 | 145 | 29 | 1.0 | 1++ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 43 | 2 | EB | 3 | 435 | 1240 | 1.5 | $3+$ | OT | AF3N |  |  |
| Echo | 44 | 2 | EB | 2 | 360 | 640 | 1.4 | 2+ | OT | M |  | small male gametes present |
| Echo | 45 | 2 | EB | 3 | 464 | 1210 | 1.2 | $3+$ | OT | AF3N |  | fish appears thin/no evidence of s |

