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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Stocking assessments were completed on Kathie Lake in Eskers Provincial Park in 1999 and 2003. The purpose of these assessments was to 1) document the status of this fishery, including changes in fish growth and 2) to confirm and investigate the level of naturalized recruitment from the descendants of eastern brook trout stocked before 1997. Kathie Lake currently contains both rainbow and eastern brook trout. Standard BC Resource Inventory and Standards Committee methods were used to complete the surveys. Naturalized brook trout recruits were captured in a gill net, and mature brook trout were observed during the course of the survey. Growth rates and lengths-at-age of naturalized brook trout in Kathie Lake were found to be less than brook trout identified in other Omineca lakes and have declined since 1991. Declining growth, high relative catch per effort and the spawning survey results are supporting evidence that the Kathie Lake EB population is growing and recruitment is not limited by spawning habitat. In contrast, the growth rates and size-at-age of all female rainbow trout stocked into Kathie Lake are relatively high and have remained stable between years. The average length of age three RB was found to be 361 mm . Based upon the results of this survey it is recommended that Kathie Lake should be assessed through opportunistic creel surveys and periodic stock assessments in an effort to monitor the growing population of EB as well as to ensure continued performance of the RB fishery.
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## INTRODUCTION 1.0

This report presents the results of two recent stock assessments of Kathie Lake with comparison to a stock assessment completed in 1991 (Van Schubert 1991). The first assessment was completed on August 9, 2000 under a partnership arrangement between the Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks (now the Ministry of Water Land and Air Protection- hereafter M.W.L.A.P.) and the Carrier Sekani Tribal Council (CSTC), with funding obtained from Fisheries Renewal B.C. through the Upper Fraser-Nechako Fisheries Council. Field activities were carried out by Margo French and Lawrence Ward of the CSTC. The second assessment was completed in October 2, 2003 by the M.W.L.A.P. with funding obtained through the Small Lakes Management and Conservation Initiative (SLMCI). Analysis and reporting of the field results were conducted by the author. Peer review of this report was completed by regional fisheries staff. Inquiries pertaining to this report should be directed to the M.W.L.A.P. in Prince George.

Kathie Lake is a closed drainage system (Table 1, Figure 1, Photo 1) located 32 km northwest of Prince George in Eskers Provincial Park. The lake was initially surveyed in 1985 and was determined to be barren of fish based on gill net and minnow trap surveys (Phillip, 1985). The lake was subsequently stocked with rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) in 1986, 1988 and 1989 and eastern brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) in 19881989 (Appendix 2, Table 1). The original fishery management objective for Kathie Lake was to provide a high a yield put and take fishery for rainbow trout and brook trout (MWLAP Lakes Files). Stocking was suspended at the request of the Parks Branch after 1989 as the result of concerns by Parks staff regarding uncontrolled angler access to the lakes in Eskers Park, which was occurring in response to the stocking program but in advance of the completion of adequate trail infrastructure to support the increased angler use.

After the stocking program ceased, unconfirmed reports were submitted to Fisheries and Parks staff that some of the lakes were continuing to produce brook trout, presumably through natural recruitment. Reports were also received that indicated that fish may have been transferred between lakes, a situation which, if true, would have a direct bearing on the management objectives for each of the lakes initially stocked. In 1996, Parks Branch requested that the stocking program be re-invoked, as it was felt that angler use could now be controlled given the state of the park's infrastructure. Stocking of RB was reinitiated in Kathie Lake in 1997 on an alternate year basis at a rate of 239 yearlings/ha (Appendix 2,Table 1). This stocking rate was reduced to 144 yearlings/ha in 1999. In 2002 the stocking frequency was changed to once per year (Appendix 2, Table 1). Brook trout have not been stocked into Kathie Lake since 1989 although, in February 1997 Parks staff observed an angler that had captured two mature brook trout from Kathie Lake. One of these fish contained a juvenile fish ( $\sim 120 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) that was tentatively identified as a brook trout (M.W.L.A.P. Lakes Files).

Kathie Lake was assigned status as a high priority lake for stock assessment in 2000 as only one survey had been completed since the lake had been initially stocked and this
survey was completed in 1991 (Van Schubert 1991) only two years after stocking had been re-initiated and only four years after brook trout had been initially stocked. As part of ongoing monitoring, a second assessment was completed in October 2003 to visually assess the extent of spawning by eastern brook trout and to evaluate the success of rainbow trout stocking in Kathie Lake.

## BACKGROUND 2.0

Kathie Lake is one of five stocked lakes that are managed within Eskers Provincial Park, located 32 km northwest of Prince George. Access to all of the lakes in Eskers Park is by foot or by canoe portage through a developed trail system. Fish stocking in Eskers Park coincided with the initial park development in 1987 and was meant to provide a variety of angling opportunities utilizing "put and take" fisheries (BC Parks 1990). Currently within Eskers Park, there are five lakes that are intentionally stocked with sterile, allfemale triploid (AF3N) eastern brook trout and two that are stocked with all female (AF) rainbow trout. These lakes include Bow, Butterfly, Byers, Camp and Kathie. The stocking of reproductively capable eastern brook trout prior to 1998 has resulted in several populations of brook trout that successfully shore-spawn in lakes within the park.

Eskers Provincial Park currently supports a regionally important recreational fishery during both summer and winter months and Kathie Lake supports an important component of that fishery. However, stocking errors and possible illegal transfer of reproductively capable brook trout between the lakes in Eskers Park have compromised future recreational fishing quality and opportunities, as well as conservation of biodiversity objectives in adjacent unstocked lakes in the Park.

## METHODS 3.0

August 20003.1

A $91.4 \mathrm{~m}, 2.4 \mathrm{~m}$ deep sinking monofilament gill net with experimental mesh sizes was set in Kathie Lake on August 9, 2000, according to the methods specified in the Resource Inventory Committee document Fish Collection Methods and Standards (RIC 1997). The net was deployed at 12:15 hrs and retrieved on August 9 at 14:45 hrs, for a total soak time of 26.5 hours. The net was set from the north shore near the constriction in the middle of the lake (Figure 2), and extended in a SSE orientation. The net ranged in depth from the surface to approximately 12 m . (Figure 2).

All trout collected were sampled for fork length (mm), weight (g), sex, maturity and stomach contents. Weight was measured to the nearest 10 g and length was measured to the nearest mm. Otoliths were collected from all brook trout and scales were collected from rainbow trout for age structure analyses by Birkenhead Scale Analyses (Lone Butte, BC).

A $91.4 \mathrm{~m}, 2.4 \mathrm{~m}$ deep floating monofilament gill net with experimental mesh was set at 16:30 hrs on October 1, 2003 in the north end of Kathie Lake (Figure 2) according to the methods specified in the Resource Inventory Committee document Fish Collection Methods and Standards (RIC 1997). The net was retrieved on October 2 at 11:40 hrs for a total soak time of 23.2 hours. The net was extended west on the surface from the shore of the island into approximately 6 meters of water (Figure 2). All trout collected were sampled for fork length (mm), weight (g), sex, and maturity. Weight was measured to the nearest 10 g and length was measured to the nearest mm. Otoliths were collected from all brook trout and scales were collected from rainbow trout for age structure analyses by Birkenhead Scale Analyses (Lone Butte, BC). A qualitative visual assessment of potential spawning habitat was also completed during this survey.

## RESULTS 4.0

## Catch summary 4.1

Rainbow trout (RB) and brook trout (EB) were captured in all three sampling events between 1991 and 2003 (Table 2, Figure 3, Figure 4) and the raw assessment data for all sample years can be found in Appendix 3. Catch per unit effort for EB varied widely between sampling events and ranged from 1.55-10.00 fish per net hour (Table 2). The catch results for rainbow trout were also variable and ranged from 0.4-2.75 fish per net hour (Table 2). It is difficult to interpret these data as catch success may be dependant upon a variety of factors including post stocking survival of yearling RB or seasonal variation in preferred habitat at the time of the surveys.

The sex ratio for EB was roughly equal in 2003 and 2000. The 2003 catch was slightly biased towards males with $44 \%$ females and $56 \%$ males. The 2000 catch was slightly biased to females with $56 \%$ female and $44 \%$ male. Both the 2000 and 2003 catches contained maturing brook trout. In 2000, the samples were identified as $100 \%$ maturing whereas in 2003 there was a variety of maturity states observed. At the time of sampling in $2003,53 \%$ of the fish were in a late maturity state with $25 \%$ of these fish being ready to spawn (Figure 5). Only 25\% of the 2003 EB sample was immature. In the 2003 catch $>80 \%$ of the three-year-old EB were mature whereas less than $20 \%$ of the two-year-olds were mature (Figure 6). All of the mature two-year-old EB were male. Rainbows that have been stocked into Kathie Lake since 2000 have been all female (AF), thus maturity states and sex ratios were not analyzed in detail for this report.

## Length Frequency, Condition and Growth 4.2

## Eastern Brook Trout 4.2.1

In 2003 the EB catch ranged in length from 225 mm to $322 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x}=279 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) (Table 3, Figure 3). EB from the 2000 sample ranged from 204 mm up to 368 mm ( $\bar{x}=279 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The mean length of three-year-old Kathie Lake EB was similar across sample years (Table 4) even though the 2000 and 2003 sampling periods occurred later in the growth season (Figure 7).

The mean body condition of EB in 2003 (1.18) was slightly higher compared with previous surveys in 1991 and 2000 (1.06 for both). Condition-at-age was comparable for all ages across sample years (Table 4) with the exception of three-year-olds in 2003. Three-year-old EB in 2003 were in higher condition than in other years and this may be in part due to the late stages of maturity of these fish and favourable growing conditions that year. The 2003 sample was collected in early October when the gonad mass would be at a maximum just prior to spawning. For the three sample years EB weight increased as the power of length according to the following equations (Figure 98):
$1991 W=.0003 L^{2.4038}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 7 2}\right)$
$1999 W=.0001 L^{2.5713}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 9 0}\right)$
$2003 W=.0000 L^{2.8682}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 9 3}\right)$
The exponent value in the length-weight relationship can be used as a relative measure of fish condition. A value of three indicates isometric growth (growth without change in body shape). Values less than three indicate a drop in mass relative to length as the fish grows (negative allometric growth).

## Rainbow Trout 4.2.2

Rainbow trout condition in Kathie Lake remained relatively constant between 1.0 and 1.1 for all ages observed through the three survey years. Furthermore, Kathie Lake rainbows have exhibited relatively good growth as they age (Table 4). For the 2003 sample RB weight increased as power of length according to the following equation (Figure 8):
$2003 W=.000006 L^{3.0349}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 9 9}\right)$
Unlike the EB samples, size-at-age for RB in Kathie Lake appears to be relatively stable. In 1991 RB's caught at the start of the growth season had a mean length of 341 mm and in 2003 RB's caught near the end of the growth season had a mean length of 361 mm (Table 4).

## Visual Spawner and Spawning Habitat Survey 4.2.3

The 2003 stocking assessment was completed in early October 2003 during the time period when EB spawning activity would likely have been at its highest intensity. Extensive schools of mature EB exhibiting spawning colour and morphology were observed cruising the littoral zone of the lake, frequently in less than one meter of water. Redd locations as well as sites where digging had occurred were also observed in the near shore areas throughout the north-west end of the lake and around the west side of the island (Figure 1).

## DISCUSSION 5.0

## Eastern Brook Trout 5.1

The stocking of reproductively viable brook trout in the late 1980's has resulted in a naturalized brook trout population that may be exhibiting high levels of intraspecific competition, resulting in density dependent growth effects.
At first glance the mean length-at-age of Kathie Lake three-year-old EB appears to be relatively stable (Figure 7), however, the 2000 and 2003 data were collected late in the growth season and both are slightly lower than the mean length at three-years in 1991 (Table 4). Factoring in a partial season of growth for 2000 and nearly a full season of growth in 2003, the mean lengths-at-age of 2000 and 2003 fish are likely more representative of the length-at-age of four year old fish. Kathie Lake brook trout also appear to be less conditioned compared to other populations of brook trout in the region. For comparison, samples of EB from Shere and Ferguson lakes from two stock assessments in 1998-99, exhibited near isometric growth with growth exponent values of 3.128 and 3.097 respectively (Zimmerman 1999a, 1999b). Kathie Lake in contrast demonstrated negative allometric growth in 2003 with a growth exponent of 2.87 (Figure 8). Our field observations at Kathie Lake are consistent with anecdotal reports from anglers of relatively poor body condition of Kathie Lake EB compared with other local lakes stocked with EB.

Decreased growth rates may be reflective of increasing levels of intraspecific competition for limited food resources in this population of naturally reproducing fish as it increases. Stocked brook trout populations capable of reproduction are prone to stunting as they grow in a confined area and reach carrying capacity, and Kathie Lake is likely following this tendency.

Based on the visual surveys for spawning habitat, it is likely that recruitment in Kathie Lake in not spawning habitat limited. Most of the shoreline of Kathie Lake is composed of a loose mixture of gravels and sands overlain with a thin layer of organic material (Photo 2). There are few areas of overland drainage in Eskers Park and Kathie Lake has no tributary or outlet streams. As a result the drainage of precipitation from Kathie Lake is subsurface which, in combination with the porous shoreline substrate, provides for
extensive, high-quality shore-spawning habitat. It is unclear how much of this shore habitat allows for successful egg and larval incubation.

The presence of naturalized brook trout populations in Kathie Lake also presents hazards in terms of conservation of biodiversity and sport fishing quality, where there is illegal fish transfer between lakes within the Park. At present the hazard from Kathie Lake is low as the three lakes in the Park immediately adjacent (within 200 m ) to Kathie Lake presently contain stocked brook trout. Two of these lakes (Bow and Butterfly; Williamson 2004a, 2004b) are known to contain naturalized brook trout and a third (Byers) is suspected to contain lower levels of reproducing brook trout, although this has not been confirmed. Given that the lakes adjacent to Kathie already contain brook trout, the incentive for anglers to move fish is low and it is more likely that fish would be moved from the lakes adjacent to Kathie Lake rather than from Kathie Lake itself.

Options to reduce the hazards to biodiversity values and fishing quality could include:

1) Increase in brook trout quotas to reduce wild naturalized population sizes
2) Eradication through the use of gillnets or trapnets in the smaller lakes (ex Butterfly, Redstart and Kinglet)
3) Park signage explaining the hazards and risks.

At a minimum a communication plan including signage should be established to inform anglers in the park the hazards of fish transfer to biodiversity and sport fishing quality as well as the legal consequences of transferring fish.

At present EB population levels, Kathie Lake has the potential to provide a high yield brook trout fishery. However if the population continues to grow and size-at-age and growth rates continue to decline, the quality of the fishery may decline to the point where anglers may divert their fishing effort elsewhere. Under this scenario fishing effort may be diverted to other higher quality lakes within Eskers Park or to lakes outside of the park. Monitoring of this fishery will be required to explain patterns of angling effort so that staff can adequately plan for and manage park use.

## Rainbow Trout 5.2

Kathie Lake rainbows continue to show relatively good growth rates, however there is some question about post stocking survival based upon the net catch per unit effort in 2000. In that year only one rainbow was captured, whereas in 2003 a more reasonable sample was obtained that represented all three age classes that could have been present in the lake. A variety of factors including, differential habitat selection, interspecific competition either by direct predation, resource competition or interference competition may be responsible for the low catch of rainbows in 2000. The 2003 sample as well as preliminary unpublished creel data from the winter of 2003/2004 indicate that there are sufficient numbers of rainbow trout in Kathie Lake to provide a fishery attractive to anglers, however monitoring will be required to ensure that this remains a trend.

## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE MANAGEMENT 6.0

1. Continue monitoring the RB fishery through annual opportunistic creel surveys and stocking assessments on a three year cycle.
2. Continue monitoring the EB fishery and population levels through annual opportunistic creel surveys and stocking assessments at a three year interval.
3. Change the brood stock requests for RB to include a preference for 20 g yearlings to reduce the likelihood that predation by brook trout is reducing post stocking survival of RB.
4. Complete an updated angling management and stocking plan for all Eskers lakes that reflects the presence of naturalized brook trout in Eskers Park and balances the need for conservation while providing for quality recreational opportunities.
5. Establish a communication plan to reduce the incidence of fish transfer in the park.
6. If populations of naturalized EB continue to grow in Kathie Lake, management options such as: 1) Increases to EB quotas, or 2) eradication methods (ex. removal by gill nets or trapnets) could be considered to protect biodiversity and fishery values.
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## TABLES 8.0

Table 1. Attributes of Kathie Lake.*

| Attributes |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Waterbody identifier | 01241 STUR |
| Water surface area | 44.6 m 2 |
| Area above 6 m |  |
| contour | 20.9 Ha |
| Shoreline perimeter | 5300 m |
| Maximum depth | 20.9 |
| Volume | 3178000 m 3 |
| Mean depth | 7.1 |
| Elevation | 760 m |
| T.D.S. | $108 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Morphoedaphic index | 15 |
| *from Philip (1985) |  |

Table 2. Catch Summary for the years 1991-2003; CPUE- Catch per unit effort.

|  | Brook Trout |  | Rainbow Trout |  | Set Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Catch | Net CPUE | Catch | Net CPUE | (Hours) | Set Date |
| 1991 | 41 | 1.66 | 68 | 2.75 | 24.75 | 22-May-91 |
| 2000 | 25 | 10.00 | 1 | 0.40 | 2.5 | 09-Aug-00 |
| 2003 | 36 | 1.55 | 14 | 0.60 | 23.2 | 02-Oct-03 |

Table 3. Mean length, weight and condition for EB captured in all sample years.

| Brook Trout |  | Length (mm) |  |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  |  | Condition (k) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Year | Size | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Var |
| 2003 | 36 | 279 | 225 | 322 | 23.7 | 261 | 150 | 370 | 64.1 | 1.18 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 0.08 | 0.01 |
| 2000 | 25 | 280 | 204 | 368 | 42.1 | 242 | 109 | 480 | 96.6 | 1.06 | 0.63 | 1.37 | 0.14 | 0.02 |
| 1991 | 41 | 301 | 260 | 350 | 20.1 | 291 | 200 | 410 | 56.0 | 1.06 | 0.79 | 1.32 | 0.11 | 0.01 |

Table 4. Physical attributes of brook trout and rainbow trout sampled in Kathie Lake 1991-2003 broken down by age class.

| Brook Trout Sample Year | Sample$\text { Age } \quad \text { Size }$ |  | Length (mm) |  |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  |  | Condition (k) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Var |
| 2003 | 2 | 17 | 259 | 225 | 288 | 15.3 | 204 | 150 | 270 | 32.2 | 1.17 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| 2000 | 2 | 6 | 223 | 204 | 242 | 15.0 | 134 | 109 | 163 | 23.1 | 1.20 | 1.04 | 1.37 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| 2003 | 3 | 19 | 297 | 276 | 322 | 12.8 | 313 | 250 | 370 | 34.5 | 1.19 | 1.06 | 1.29 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| 2000 | 3 | 14 | 290 | 255 | 350 | 26.5 | 253 | 120 | 420 | 75.0 | 1.01 | 0.63 | 1.17 | 0.1 | 0.02 |
| 1991 | 3 | 41 | 301 | 260 | 350 | 20.1 | 291 | 200 | 410 | 56.0 | 1.06 | 0.79 | 1.32 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| 2000 | 4 | 4 | 323 | 294 | 368 | 31.9 | 345 | 270 | 480 | 93.3 | 1.01 | 0.96 | 1.06 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Rainbow Trout |  |  |  | Leng | (mm |  |  | Wei | ght (g) |  |  |  | dition | (k) |  |
| Sample Year |  | Sample Size | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Var |
| 2003 | 1 | 5 | 142 | 124 | 172 | 18.5 | 30 | 20 | 49 | 11.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.00 |
| 2003 | 2 | 5 | 315 | 290 | 343 | 19.4 | 334 | 300 | 420 | 49.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| 2000 | 2 | 1 | 308 | 308 | 308 |  | 330 | 330 | 330 |  | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |  |  |
| 2003 | 3 | 4 | 361 | 311 | 385 | 34.0 | 513 | 320 | 590 | 129.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 1991 | 3 | 14 | 341 | 300 | 390 | 28.5 | 443 | 340 | 570 | 85.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.09 |
| 1991 | 4 | 2 | 385 | 380 | 390 | 7.1 | 570 | 540 | 600 | 42.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |

FIGURES 9.0


Figure 1. Map of Eskers Provincial Park showing lakes that were included in the 2003 survey (Note Byers Lake was not assessed in 2003).


Figure 2. Bathymetric map of Kathie Lake showing gill net sets in August, 2000 and in October 2003. (see Appendix 1 Figure 1 for full size image).


Figure 3. Length frequency distribution for the 2003, 1999 and 1991 gill net samples for Kathie Lake eastern brook trout (EB). 2003, $n=36 ; 2000, n=2 ; 1991, n=41$.


Figure 4. Length frequency distribution for the 2003, 1999 and 1991 gill net samples for Kathie Lake rainbow trout (RB). 2003, $n=14 ; 2000, n=1 ; 1991, n=68$.


Figure 5. Maturity states of EB captured in 2003 listed by percent.


Figure 6. Percentage of mature EB in each age class for 2003.


Figure 7. Mean length of three-year-old eastern brook trout captured in Kathie Lake, with 95\% confidence limits.


Figure 8. Length weight relationship for Kathie Lake brook trout (EB) for 1991, 2000, and 2003.


Figure 9. Length weight relationship for Kathie Lake rainbow trout (RB) for 1991, 2000 and 2003.

Photos 10.0


Photo 1. View of Kathie Lake looking north towards the Island (Philip, 1985).


Photo 2. Typical shoreline observed in Kathie Lake (Philip, 1985).

APPENDICES 10.0

## Appendix 1 Figure 1. Bathymetric map of Kathie Lake showing the 2000 and 2003 gill net sets.



Appendix 2 Table 1. Stocking history and recent brood requests for Kathie Lake.

| Release Date | Gazetted Name | Region | Species Name | Sish <br> Count | Secking <br> (fishitha) | Stock | Mark | Average <br> Size (g) | Life Cycle Stage | Watershed Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Waterbody |
| :---: |
| Identifier |

Appendix 3 Table 1. Stock assessment data for Kathie Lake eastern brook trout in 2003.

| Lake | Sample\# | Set \# | Species <br> Caught | Age | Length <br> (mm) | Weight (grams) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Condition } \\ \text { (k) } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scale } \\ \text { Age } \end{gathered}$ | Structure | Cond. <br> Code | Clip | Sex | Maturity | Ageing Comments | Comments | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kathie | 1 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 280 | 255 | 1.2 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 3 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 272 | 235 | 1.2 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | mt |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 4 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 250 | 200 | 1.3 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | sp |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 7 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 268 | 220 | 1.1 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | mt |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 11 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 272 | 225 | 1.1 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 17 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 245 | 170 | 1.2 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | mt |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 18 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 260 | 175 | 1.0 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | m |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 21 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 252 | 200 | 1.2 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | m |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 22 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 267 | 200 | 1.1 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 23 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 265 | 220 | 1.2 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 26 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 288 | 270 | 1.1 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | im | wide 2nd year growth |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 30 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 258 | 200 | 1.2 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 31 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 247 | 190 | 1.3 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | mt |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 32 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 261 | 220 | 1.2 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | mt |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 34 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 254 | 170 | 1.0 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | mt |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 35 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 242 | 170 | 1.2 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | mt |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 36 | GN1 | eb | 2 | 225 | 150 | 1.3 | $2+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | mt |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 2 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 308 | 350 | 1.2 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | m |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 5 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 283 | 290 | 1.3 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | sp |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 6 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 288 | 290 | 1.2 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | sp |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 8 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 304 | 315 | 1.1 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | sp |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 9 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 300 | 285 | 1.1 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 10 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 280 | 250 | 1.1 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | m |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 12 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 291 | 285 | 1.2 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | m |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 13 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 292 | 320 | 1.3 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | sp |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 14 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 322 | 370 | 1.1 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | m |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 15 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 291 | 300 | 1.2 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | m |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 16 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 312 | 370 | 1.2 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | sp |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 19 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 276 | 270 | 1.3 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | m |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 20 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 302 | 350 | 1.3 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | sp |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 24 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 299 | 320 | 1.2 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | sp |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 25 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 300 | 325 | 1.2 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | f | m |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 27 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 317 | 350 | 1.1 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 28 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 308 | 320 | 1.1 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 29 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 282 | 270 | 1.2 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | $n$ | m | m |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 33 | GN1 | eb | 3 | 291 | 310 | 1.3 | $3+$ | ot | 1 | n | m | sp |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |

Appendix 3 Table 2. Stock assessment data for Kathie Lake eastern brook trout in 2000.

| Lake | Sample\# | Set \# | Species Caught | Age | Length (mm) | Weight (grams) | $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scale } \\ \text { Age } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Structure | Cond. Code | Clip | Sex | Maturity | Ageing Comments Comments | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kathie | 2 | GN1 | Ebt | 2 | 204 | 116.6 | 1.37 | ${ }^{2+}$ | otolith | 1 | n | f | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 3 | GN1 | Ebt | 2 | 208 | 109.3 | 1.21 | $2+$ | otolith | 1 | n | m | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 4 | GN1 | Ebt | 2 | 220 | 125.4 | 1.18 | $2+$ | otolith | 1 | n | f | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 5 | GN1 | Ebt | 2 | 230 | 127.1 | 1.04 | $2+$ | otolith | 1 | n | m | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 8 | GN1 | Ebt | 2 | 234 | 162.7 | 1.27 | $2+$ | otolith | 1 | n | m | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 6 | GN1 | Ebt | 2 | 242 | 162.5 | 1.15 | $2+$ | otolith | 1 | n | f | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 1 | GN1 | Rbt |  | 308 | 330 | 1.13 | $2+$ | scale | 1 | n | f | mt | scale age of '2' was omitted as suspect | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 7 | GN1 | Ebt | 3 | 255 | 162 | 0.98 | $3+$ | otolith | 1 | n | f | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 11 | GN1 | Ebt | 3 | 263 | 207.5 | 1.14 | $3+$ | otolith | 1 | n | f | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 23 | GN1 | Ebt | 3 | 267 | 120.4 | 0.63 | $3+$ | otolith | 1 | n | f | mt | weight is small, could it actually be 220.4? | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 12 | GN1 | Ebt | 3 | 274 | 195.1 | 0.95 | $3+$ | otolith | 4 | n | m | mt | otolith sheared | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 13 | GN1 | Ebt | 3 | 275 | 236 | 1.13 | $3+$ | otolith | 1 | n | f | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 9 | GN1 | Ebt | 3 | 280 | 220.7 | 1.01 | $3+$ | otolith | 1 | n | m | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 10 | GN1 | Ebt | 3 | 286 | 266.7 | 1.14 | $3+$ | otolith | 1 | n | m | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 14 | GN1 | Ebt | 3 | 287 | 277 | 1.17 | $3+$ | otolith | 1 | n | f | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 22 | GN1 | Ebt | 3 | 291 | 252 | 1.02 | $3+$ | otolith | 1 | n | m | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 16 | GN1 | Ebt | 3 | 298 | 285 | 1.08 | $3+$ | otolith | 1 | n | f | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 15 | GN1 | Ebt | 3 | 300 | 252.5 | 0.94 | $3+$ | otolith | 1 | n | f | mt | otolith opaque | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 17 | GN1 | Ebt | 3 | 302 | 310 | 1.13 | $3+$ | otolith | 1 | n | f | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 18 | GN1 | Ebt | 3 | 336 | 340 | 0.90 | $3+$ | otolith | 1 | n | m | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 24 | GN1 | Ebt | 3 | 350 | 420 | 0.98 | $3+$ | otolith | 1 | n | m | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 19 | GN1 | Ebt | 4 | 294 | 270 | 1.06 | $4+$ | otolith | 1 | n | f | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 21 | GN1 | Ebt | 4 | 310 | 300 | 1.01 | $4+$ | otolith | 1 | n | m | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 20 | GN1 | Ebt | 4 | 320 | 330 | 1.01 | ${ }^{4+}$ | otolith | 4 | n | f | mt | otolith sheared, age estimate | 09-Aug-00 |
| Kathie | 25 | GN1 | Ebt | 4 | 368 | 480 | 0.96 | $4^{+}$ | otolith | 1 | n | m | mt |  | 09-Aug-00 |

Appendix 3 Table 3. Stock assessment data for Kathie Lake eastern brook trout in 1991.

| Lake | Sample\# | Set \# | Species Caught | Age | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{gathered}$ | Weight (grams) | Condition Scale <br> (k) <br> Age  | Structure | Cond. Code | Clip | Sex | Maturity | Ageing Comments | Comments | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 260 | 220 | $1.25 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 290 | 270 | $1.11 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 340 | 400 | $1.02 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 270 | 250 | $1.27 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 290 | 270 | $1.11 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 310 | 350 | $1.17 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  | IM |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 310 | 300 | $1.01 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  | IM |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 300 | 260 | $0.96 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 310 | 300 | $1.01 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  | IM |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 270 | 200 | $1.02 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 300 | 290 | $1.07 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  | IM |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 300 | 270 | $1.00 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 300 | 300 | $1.11 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  | IM |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 270 | 200 | $1.02 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 330 | 400 | $1.11 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  | IM |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 280 | 290 | $1.32 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 320 | 360 | $1.10 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 290 | 260 | $1.07 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  | IM |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 290 | 270 | $1.11 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  | IM | age of 3 assigned to all fish |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 350 | 410 | $0.96 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt | given stocking history/ growth condition and comparative size |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 280 | 240 | $1.09 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt | at age from other lakes/ |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 290 | 290 | $1.19 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt | assessments |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 300 | 240 | 0.89 n/a |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 310 | 320 | $1.07 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 340 | 400 | $1.02 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  | IM |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 320 | 260 | 0.79 n/a |  |  |  |  | IM |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 320 | 330 | $1.01 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 290 | 250 | $1.03 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  | IM |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 290 | 250 | $1.03 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 310 | 300 | $1.01 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 310 | 250 | $0.84 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 290 | 250 | $1.03 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 290 | 300 | $1.23 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 310 | 280 | $0.94 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | IM |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 310 | 320 | $1.07 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 300 | 320 | 1.19 n/a |  |  |  |  | IM |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 300 | 310 | $1.15 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 320 | 320 | 0.98 n/a |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 270 | 200 | $1.02 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 320 | 400 | $1.22 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  | IM |  |  | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie |  | GN1 | eb | 3 | 300 | 250 | 0.93 n/a |  |  |  | F | mt |  |  | 23-May-91 |

Appendix 3 Table 4. Stock assessment data for Kathie Lake rainbow trout in 2003.

| Lake | Sample\# | Set \# | Species Caught | Age | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Weight (grams) | Condition <br> (k) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale } \\ & \text { Age } \end{aligned}$ | Structure | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cond. } \\ & \text { Code } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Clip | Sex | Maturity | Ageing Comments | Comments | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kathie | 47 | GN1 | rbt | 1 | 172 | 49 | 1.0 | ${ }^{1+}$ | sc | 1 | n | unk | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 48 | GN1 | rbt | 1 | 144 | 32 | 1.1 | $1+$ | sc | 1 | n | f | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 49 | GN1 | rbt | 1 | 124 | 20 | 1.0 | $1+$ | sc | 1 | n | f | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 50 | GN1 | rbt | 1 | 131 | 22 | 1.0 | $1+$ | sc | 1 | n | f | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 51 | GN1 | rbt | 1 | 138 | 28 | 1.1 | $1+$ | sc | 1 | n | f | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 37 | GN1 | rbt | 2 | 290 | 300 | 1.2 | $2+$ | sc | 2 | n | f | mt | possible 3+ on scale edge |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 41 | GN1 | rbt | 2 | 309 | 320 | 1.1 | $2+$ | sc | 1 | n | f | mt |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 42 | GN1 | rbt | 2 | 311 | 330 | 1.1 | $2+$ | sc | 1 | n | f | mt |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 45 | GN1 | rbt | 2 | 322 | 300 | 0.9 | $2+$ | sc | 1 | n | f | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 46 | GN1 | rbt | 2 | 343 | 420 | 1.0 | $2+$ | sc | 1 | n | f | im |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 38 | GN1 | rbt | 3 | 366 | 550 | 1.1 | $3+$ | sc | 1 | n | f | mt |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 39 | GN1 | rbt | 3 | 380 | 590 | 1.1 | $3+$ | sc | 1 | n | f | mt | stress in 2nd year |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 40 | GN1 | rbt | 3 | 311 | 320 | 1.1 | $3+$ | sc | 1 | n | m | mt |  |  | 02-Oct-03 |
| kathie | 44 | GN1 | rbt | 3 | 385 | 590 | 1.0 | $3+$ | sc | 1 | n | f | mt | 3rd annulus on scale edge |  | 02-Oct-03 |

Appendix 3 Table 5. Stock assessment data for Kathie Lake rainbow trout in 2000.

| Lake | Sample\# | Set \# | Species Caught | Age | Length (mm) | Weight (grams) | Condition (k) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scale } \\ \text { Age } \end{gathered}$ | Structure | Cond. Code | Clip | Sex | Maturity | Ageing Comments | Comments | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Appendix 3 Table 6. Stock assessment data for Kathie Lake rainbow trout in 1991.

| Lake | Sample\# Set\# | Species <br> Caught | Length <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Weight <br> (grams) | Condition <br> $(\mathrm{k})$ | Scale <br> Age | Structure | Cond. <br> Code | Clip | Sex | Maturity Ageing Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 240 | 200 | 1.4 |  |  | F | na | 23-May-91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 270 | 260 | 1.3 |  |  | na | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 270 | 250 | 1.3 |  |  | F | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 270 | 250 | 1.3 |  |  | F | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 280 | 300 | 1.4 |  |  | M | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 280 | 260 | 1.2 |  |  | F | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 280 | 250 | 1.1 |  |  | M | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 290 | 320 | 1.3 |  |  | M | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 290 | 270 | 1.1 |  |  | F | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 290 | 300 | 1.2 |  |  | F | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 290 | 250 | 1.0 |  |  | M | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 290 | 340 | 1.4 |  |  | M | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 290 | 300 | 1.2 |  |  | M | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 3 | 300 | 570 | 2.1 | 3.0 | sc | F | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 300 | 300 | 1.1 |  |  | F | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 300 | 300 | 1.1 |  |  | F | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 300 | 300 | 1.1 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 3 | 310 | 350 | 1.2 | 3.0 | sc |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 3 | 310 | 340 | 1.1 | 3.0 | sc |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 310 | 320 | 1.1 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 310 | 370 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 310 | 350 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 310 | 370 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 310 | 350 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 310 | 320 | 1.1 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 292.4 | 311.6 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 3 | 320 | 340 | 1.0 | 3.0 | sc |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 320 | 380 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 320 | 400 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 320 | 350 | 1.1 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 320 | 400 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 320 | 400 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 320 | 350 | 1.1 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 320 | 400 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 3 | 330 | 400 | 1.1 | 3.0 | sc |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 3 | 330 | 400 | 1.1 | 3.0 | sc |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 3 | 330 | 390 | 1.1 | 3.0 | sc |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 3 | 330 | 370 | 1.0 | 3.0 | sc |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 330 | 400 | 1.1 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 330 | 420 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 330 | 390 | 1.1 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 330 | 440 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 330 | 400 | 1.1 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 330 | 400 | 1.1 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 330 | 390 | 1.1 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 340 | 460 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 3 | 350 | 450 | 1.0 | 3.0 | sc |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 3 | 360 | 460 | 1.0 | 3.0 | sc |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 360 | 470 | 1.0 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 360 | 500 | 1.1 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 360 | 540 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 3 | 370 | 560 | 1.1 | 3.0 | sc |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 3 | 370 | 500 | 1.0 | 3.0 | sc |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 370 | 550 | 1.1 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 370 | 600 | 1.2 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 370 | 520 | 1.0 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 3 | 380 | 500 | 0.9 | 3.0 | sc |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 4 | 380 | 540 | 1.0 | 4.0 | sc |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 380 | 570 | 1.0 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 380 | 540 | 1.0 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 380 | 510 | 0.9 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 380 | 550 | 1.0 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 3 | 390 | 570 | 1.0 | 3.0 | sc |  | st | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB | 4 | 390 | 600 | 1.0 | 4.0 | sc |  | sp | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 390 | 590 | 1.0 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 390 | 560 | 0.9 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 400 | 580 | 0.9 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |
| Kathie | GN1 | RB |  | 400 | 670 | 1.0 |  |  |  | na | 23-May-91 |

## PROJECT EVALUATION 11.0

## Project Budget Summary:

Budget allocated: 5000
Budget spent: 5000
Cost savings: 0
The project was:
$\sqrt{ }$ on budgetover budget Why?
$\square$ under budget Why? $\qquad$

## Was the project completed as planned?

Yes.
$\sqrt{ }$ No. If not, describe problems that arose and changes made to address problems. We were unable to complete the Bow/ Butterfly Lake paired lake study as the result of a fish stocking error. A follow-up survey is planned for 2004.

Would the proponent recommend changes to similar projects in the future?
$\sqrt{ }$ No.Yes (Please provide details). $\qquad$

## Contractor performance:

$\checkmark$ Not applicable. No contractor employed.Acceptable. Would employ again.
$\square$ Acceptable. But some concerns (please provide details):
$\square$ Unacceptable. Would not recommend for future projects (please provide reasons): $\qquad$

