WATERSHED RESTORATION PROGRAM INSTREAM ROUTINE EFFECTIVENESS EVALUATION 2001 KOBES AND COLT WATERSHEDS

Prepared for

Forest Renewal BC
Watershed Restoration Program
Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection
400-10003 110th Ave.,
Fort St. John, BC V1J 6M7

February 2002

"This document represents a typical report to be used as a guide for developing FIA Aquatic Restoration and Rehabilitation outputs as per the FIA Aquatic Restoration and Rehabilitation Standards (http://wlapwww.gov.bc.ca/wld/fia/instream.html). It has been reproduced with the consent of the authors. It is not intended to be used as a universal product for all projects."

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| LIST OF TABLES | |
|-------------------------------------|----|
| LIST OF FIGURES | i |
| LIST OF PHOTO PLATES | ii |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | |
| INTRODUCTION | |
| OBJECTIVES | |
| Colt Creek Sub-Basin | |
| West Kobes Sub-Basin | 2 |
| SCOPE | |
| PERFORMANCE SUMMARY | 2 |
| DETAILED ROUTINE EVALUATION SUMMARY | |
| CONCLUSIONS | |
| RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| LITERATURE CITED | 5 |
| TABLES | |
| FIGURES | |
| PHOTO PLATES | |
| | |

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1. Routine effectiveness evaluation restoration works summary stream component for West Kobes Creek, Reach 8.
- Table 2. Routine effectiveness evaluation restoration works summary stream component for Colt Creek.
- Table 3. Summary of performance ratings for each structure type (±one standard deviation).
- Table 4. Maximum and residual depth, pool area and pool cover in Reach 8, Kobes Creek. Constructed works completed in September 2000 and monitored in 2000 and 2001. Monitoring survey dates 23 July 2000 and 7 August 2001.
- Table 5. Summary of fish captured at structures in Reach 8, West Kobes Creek, 22 August 2001.
- Table 6. Summary of fish survey at restoration structures in Colt Creek, 9 September 2001.
- Table 7. Summary of remedial work recommendations.

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1. Location of routine effectiveness evaluation (REE) in Kobes Creek, August 2001.
- Figure 2. Location of routine effectiveness evaluation (REE) in Colt Creek, August 2001.
- Figure 3. Summary of performance ratings across all structure types (\pm one standard deviation).
- Figure 4. Summary of performance ratings for individual structure types (± one standard deviation).

LIST OF PHOTO PLATES

Due to size constraints, we have only included Photo Plates 1 & 2 in this document.

- Photo 1. Downstream view of LWD-R (LBJ5) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 0+260 m (N 6°19.944 W 122°23.999). Photo ref. 154-23A, 24 September, 2001.
- Photo 2. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT7) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 0+340 m (N 56°19.900 W 122°24.001). Photo ref. 154-20/21A, 24 September, 2001.
- Photo 3. Downstream view of LWD-D (LBJ5) on LB West Kobes Creek at chainage 0+362 m (N 56°19.897 W 122°24.016). Photo ref. 154-17A, 24 September, 2001.
- Photo 4. Upstream view of LWD-R (LT52) on RB West Kobes Creek from chainage 0+820 m (N 56°19.717 W 122°23.964). Photo ref. 154-16A, 24 September, 2001.
- Photo 5. Upstream view of LWD-1 (LT1) on LB West Kobes Creek at chainage 0+900 m (N 56°19.702 W 122°23.963). Photo ref. 154-12A, 24 September, 2001.
- Photo 6. Upstream view of LWD-1 (LT1) on LB West Kobes Creek at chainage 0+925 m (N 56°19.682 W 122°23.991). Photo ref. 154-10A, 24 September, 2001.
- Photo 7. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT3) on LB West Kobes Creek at chainage 1+054 m (N 56°19.628 W 122°24.015). Photo ref. 154-7A, 24 September, 2001.
- Photo 8. Upstream view of LWD-A (LT3) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 1+147 m (N 56°19.572 W 122°24.013). Photo ref. 154-5A, 24 Sept 2001.
- Photo 9. Downstream view of LWD-R (LT4) on LB West Kobes Creek at chainage 1+240 m (N 56°19.520 W 122°24.029). Photo ref. 154-2A, 24 Sept 2001.
- Photo 10. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT6) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 1+270 m (N 56°19.505 W 122°24.040). Photo ref. 155-23/25A, 24 Sept 2001.
- Photo 11. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT52) on LB West Kobes Creek at chainage 1+381 m (N 56°19.460 W 122°24.081). Photo ref. 153-13/14A, 5 Aug 2001.
- Photo 12. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT3) on LB West Kobes Creek at chainage 1+503 m (N 56°19.413 W 122°24.047). Photo ref. 169-32, 24 Sept 2001.
- Photo 13. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT3) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 1+518 m (N 56°19.416 W 122°24.024). Photo ref. 153-12A, 24 Sept 2001.
- Photo 14. Downstream view of LWD-1 (LT1) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 1+549 m (N 56°19.410 W 122°24.002). Photo ref. 153-11A, 24 Sept 2001.

- Photo 15. Downstream view of LWD-A (LO4) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 1+577 m (N 56°19.389 W 122°23.998). Photo ref. 153-9/10A, 24 Sept 2001.
- Photo 16. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT6) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 1+608 m (N 56°19.377 W 122°24.004). Photo ref. 153-8A, 24 Sept 2001.
- Photo 17. Upstream view of LWD-R (LT52) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 1+830 to1+890 m (N 56°19.245 W 122°24.066). Photo ref. 153-4A, 24 Sept 2001.
- Photo 18. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT52) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 1+890 m (N 56°19.377 W 122°24.004). Photo ref. 153-1A, 24 Sept 2001.
- Photo 19. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT2) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 2+052 m (N 56°19.219 W 122°24.140). Photo ref. 156-35A, 5 Aug 2001.
- Photo 20. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT3) on LB West Kobes Creek at chainage 2+135 m (N 56°19.185 W 122°24.210). Photo ref. 156-32A, 5 Aug 2001.
- Photo 21. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT3) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 2+565 m (N 56°19.039 W 122°24.231). Photo ref. 156-27/28A, 5 Aug 2001.
- Photo 22. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT3) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 2+579 m (N 56°19.029 W 122°24.217). Photo ref. 156-24A, 5 Aug 2001.
- Photo 23. Downstream view of LWD-A (LO4) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 2+670 m (N 56°18.985 W 122°24.246). Photo ref. 156-17A, 5 Aug 2001.
- Photo 24. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT6) on LB West Kobes Creek at chainage 2+810 m (N 56°18.959 W 122°24.335). Photo ref. 156-15A, 5 Aug 2001.
- Photo 25. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT3) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 2+910 m (N 56°18.918 W 122°24.310). Photo ref. 156-12A, 5 Aug 2001.
- Photo 26. Downstream view of LWD-R (LT52) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 3+650 m (N 56°18.626 W 122°24.361). Photo ref. 152-36A, 5 Aug 2001.
- Photo 27. Upstream view of BLG-D on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 3+680 m (N 56°18.620 W 122°24.363). Photo ref. 152-34A, 5 Aug 2001.
- Photo 28. Upstream view of BLG-D on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 3+690 m (N 56°18.616 W 122°24.360). Photo ref. 152-33A, 5 Aug 2001.
- Photo 29. Upstream view of BLG-D on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 3+700 m (N 56°18.610 W 122°24.358). Photo ref. 152-32A, 5 Aug 2001.

- Photo 30. Upstream view of BLD-C West Kobes Creek at chainage 3+750 m (N 56°18.593W 122°24.378) in foreground, and at 3+790 m in background (N 56°18.581 W 122°24.389). Photo ref. 152-30A, 5 Aug 2001.
- Photo 31. Downstream view of LWD-R on LB Colt Creek at Site 1(N 56°28.533 W 122°38.301). Photo ref. 150-15A, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 32. Downstream view of BIO-BAR near RB Colt Creek at Site 1(N 56°28.525 W 122°38.289). Photo ref. 892-12/13, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 33. Upstream view of BIO-BAR near LB Colt Creek at Site 2(N 56°28.523 W 122°38.273). Photo ref. 892-14/15, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 34. View of LWD-R on RB Colt Creek at Site 2 (N 56°28.536 W 122°38.229). Photo ref. 150-16A, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 35. Upstream view of RWEIR in Colt Creek (N 56°28.168 W 122°39.318). Photo ref. 150-21A, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 36. Downstream view of LWD-R (LT52) on RB Colt Creek at Site 3 (N 56°27.852 W 122°39.450). Photo ref. 150-24/25A, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 37. Upstream view of Site 4 RIFF (N 56°27.676 W 122°39.465) and Site 4 LWD-R (N 56°27.667 W 122°39.445. Photo ref. 150-27A, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 38. Upstream view of Site 5 RIFF (N 56°27.230 W 122°39.159) and Site 5 LWD-R (N 56°27.224 W 122°39.126. Photo ref. 150-29A, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 39. Downstream view of Site 6 LWD-R (N 56°27.182 W 122°39.140). Photo ref. 150-30/31A, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 40. Upstream view of Site 6 RIFF (N 56°27.195 W 122°39.119). Photo ref. 150-32A, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 41. Upstream view of LT3 (N 56°27.310 W 122°39.200). Photo ref. 150-33A, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 42. Upstream view of Site 7 RIFF (N 56°27.115 W 122°39.085). Photo ref. 150-34A, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 43. Upstream view of Site 7 LWD-R (N 56°27.115 W 122°39.084). Photo ref. 150-35/36A, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 44. Upstream view of Site 8 RIFF (N 56°27.034 W 122°39.023). Photo ref. 148-1, 28 September 2001.

- Photo 45. Upstream view of Site 8 LWD-R (N 56°27.032 W 122°38.936). Photo ref. 148-2, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 46. Upstream view of Site 9 RIFF (N 56°26.995 W 122°38.958). Photo ref. 148-3, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 47. Upstream view of Site 9 LWD-R (N 56°26.981 W 122°38.947). Photo ref. 148-4, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 48. Upstream view of Site 10 LWD-R (LT5<3) at (N 56°26.934 W 122°38.870). Photo ref. 148-5, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 49. Downstream view of Site 11 LWD-R (LT52) at (N 56°26.806 W 122°38.886). Photo ref. 148-7, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 50. Downstream view of Site 12 LWD-A (two opposing LT2) at (N 56°26.723 W 122°38.870). Photo ref. 148-8, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 51. Downstream view of Site 13 LWD-A (LT2 on LB &LO3 on RB) at (N 56°26.719 W 122°38.893). Photo ref. 148-9, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 52. Downstream view of Site 14 LWD-A (LT3 on RB) at (N 56°26.706 W 122°38.918). Photo ref. 148-11, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 53. View of Site 14 LWD-R (LT52 on RB) at (N 56°26.704 W 122°38.933). Photo ref. 148-13/14, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 54. Downstream view of Site 15 LWD-A (LT2 on LB) at (N 56°26.650 W 122°38.918). Photo ref. 148-15, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 55. Upstream view of Site 15 LWD-A (two LT2's on RB) at (N 56°26.638 W 122°38.925). Photo ref. 148-16, 28 September 2001.
- Photo 56. Downstream view of Site 16 LWD-R (LT52 on RB) at (N 56°26.427 W 122°39.216). Photo ref. 148-19, 28 September 2001.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Appreciation is extended to Brendan Anderson, Watershed Restoration Specialist, Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection for participating in the field evaluation, for in-depth thought provoking dialogue and for reviewing and improving this manuscript. Electro-fishing surveys in West Kobes Creek and Colt Creek were conducted by Kirt Shuman and Dave Wenarski.

Funding for this project was provided by Canadian Forest Products Ltd through their Multi-Year Agreement with Forest Renewal BC. We are indebted to CANFOR, BC Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection, and Lynx Consulting Ltd for their continued support of this project.

Page vii

INTRODUCTION

West Kobes Creek watershed and Colt Creek watershed were both selected by the MWLAP and Canadian Forest Products Limited (Canfor) for restoration of fish habitat damaged by pre-code logging practices (Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively). Both watersheds are located approximately 100 km WNW of Fort St. John, BC within the Omineca-Peace/Prince George region of MWLAP. West Kobes Creek is 21.1 km in length and drains a watershed area 79 km²; while, Colt Creek is 37 km in length with a 155 km² watershed (Figure 1 and Figure 2).

Prior to this Routine Effectiveness Evaluation, Canadian Forest Products Ltd. in agreement with the former BC Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks (now BC Ministry of Water, Land, and Air Protection) conducted Overview Assessments (LGL and CGL1999; LGL 2001), Level 1 Fish Habitat Assessments (Murray and Gaboury 2000, Klohn-Crippen 1997), Level 2 Fish Habitat Assessments or Prescriptions (Gaboury 2000, MacMahon 2000) and Constructed Works (Murray 2001, MacMahon 2001, Anderson and MacMahon 1999) within West Kobes and Colt watersheds.

Funding for these projects has been provided by Forest Renewal BC through the Ministry of Water, Land, and Air Protection and by Canadian Forest Products Ltd. through their Multi-Year Agreement with Forest Renewal BC.

OBJECTIVES

Colt Creek Sub-Basin

There are three overall Sub-basin Level Objectives for restoration in Colt Sub-basin:

- re-establish a more stable channel with characteristics that mimic those of a natural stream:
- reduce erosion and sediment loading to downstream habitats; and
- restore summer and winter rearing habitats for salmonids

The primary watershed component for restoration in Colt Sub-basin is instream fish habitat. Component Level Objectives for instream fish habitat are:

- reduce sediment inputs from unstable banks and slopes; and
- increase residual pool depths and fish habitat cover elements.

Site Level Objectives for the instream habitats are:

- increase LWD frequency;
- increase percent LWD cover in pools;
- increase scour in existing pools;
- use LWD structures to re-establish appropriate meander pattern away from unstable banks and sediment sources; and

• establish a pool-riffle sequence for pool tail-out control contiguous to bank revetment structures.

West Kobes Sub-Basin

In the West Kobes Sub-basin, there are three watershed or Sub-basin Level Objectives for restoration:

- stabilize chronic sediment sources;
- re-establish a more stable channel with appropriate bankfull widths in portions of reaches that were relatively stable prior to logging; and
- improve base-flow holding and rearing habitat for salmonids.

The primary watershed component for restoration in West Kobes Sub-basin is instream fish habitat. Component Level Objectives for instream fish habitat are:

- reduce sediment inputs from unstable banks, slopes and road fill; and
- increase residual pool depths and fish habitat cover elements.

Site Level Objectives for the instream habitats are:

- reduce erosion at eroding banks by constructing LWD and boulder structures that will provide bank protection and will adjust the meander curvatures;
- re-establish a narrower channel with an appropriate bankfull width by stabilizing gravel bars and protecting stream banks with boulder and LWD structures;
- increase pool frequency; and
- increase percent pool cover and promote pool scour by constructing LWD structures at work sites.

SCOPE

Routine effectiveness monitoring was conducted at 30 instream restoration sites in Reach 8, West Kobes Creek that were constructed during the work window in 2000 (Table 1) as well as 29 instream restoration sites in Colt Creek that were constructed during the work window in 1999 and 2000 (Table 2). Project effectiveness monitoring was performed at a sub-set of these sites and included measuring pool habitat area, pool scour and fish presence (Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6). Recommendations regarding remedial works are summarized in Table 7.

PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

A total of 59 structures (i.e., 30 in West Kobes Creek and 29 in Colt Creek) were evaluated for physical and biological performance (Tables 1 and 2, respectively). Across all structures, the pooled mean physical performance objective was 3.54 ± 0.559 , whereas the mean biological performance objective was 3.55 ± 0.563 (Figure 3).

The 59 structures represented eight structure categories as follows: revetments (LWD-R, n = 20), lateral jams (LWD-A, n = 21), deflectors (LWD-D, n = 3), riffles (RIFF, n = 7), boulder groynes (BLD-G, n = 3), boulder clusters (BLD-C, n = 2), bar stabilizers (BIO-BAR, n = 2) and rock weir (RWEIR, n = 1). Physical and biological performance for each structure category is summarized in Table 3 and illustrated in Figure 4. Although small sample size precludes meaningful discussion, it is interesting to note that highest biological performance occurred among the lateral jam structures.

DETAILED ROUTINE EVALUATION SUMMARY

Pool area and residual pool depth (i.e., maximum pool depth minus depth at riffle crest) was tape-measured at 23 of the constructed instream sites in West Kobes Creek in 2000 and remeasured in 2001. The results are presented in Table 4. Overall, total pool area at these 23 sites increased from 1178 m² in 2000 to 1415 m² in 2001. Furthermore, mean residual depth increased from 0.37 m to 0.51 m as a result of pool scour and enhanced debris capture and collection on constructed structures. It is interesting to note that at six of seven sites, pool area actually declined, but with a concomitant increase in residual pool depth; suggesting that the channel may be developing a narrower and deeper cross section at these locations. Such a trend would be highly beneficial to alleviating the primary limiting factor to salmonids in Reach 8, West Kobes Creek.

Summary of fish captures in West Kobes Creek and Colt Creek are presented in Table 5 and Table 6, respectively. Multiple-pass removal techniques were employed by a two-man crew contiguous to instream structures using block nets and a Smith-Root Type 12B backpack electrofisher. In West Kobes Creek, only two of the four summer-residing salmonid species were captured during base flow conditions in late August: namely, rainbow trout and Arctic grayling. Among salmonids, rainbow trout was the most numerous and widespread species present. In Colt Creek, only bull trout and rainbow trout were captured, although Arctic grayling and mountain whitefish have been documented in the lower portions of the watershed. In both systems, late summer fish observations were substantially reduced from those in July; electrofishing with only a two-man crew was found to be difficult and perhaps ineffective around the constructed works. Perhaps a combination of capture techniques, including baited Gee traps would be a more effective means to estimating fish utilization contiguous to instream works.

CONCLUSIONS

Many benefits to lotic fish communities resulting from instream works are presently in a transitional phase and hence, require additional years of monitoring effort for a more complete understanding of processes. For instance, after one year, LT3 structures out performed LT6 structures in terms of pool scour and maintenance of pool area. Gravel and cobble sediments scoured from the upstream end of LT6 structures invariably infilled the downstream portion of the structure. Accordingly, rearing juvenile salmonids appeared to prefer the smaller LT3 structures. Perhaps LT6 performance will improve over time as excess sediments are flushed through the system and channel stability improves. Trends toward improved habitat complexity and fisheries productivity in YEAR ONE includes:

- increasing holding and rearing potential by increasing pool frequency and pool area;
- increasing pool residual depth initiated by scouring function of LWD;
- increasing woody cover in pools by the natural capture of additional woody debris;
- increasing areas of sorted and un-compacted gravel substrate, in close proximity to escape-cover that are potentially attractive for spawning utilization;
- reducing sediment input from bank erosion;
- reducing lateral migration and subsequent channel widening;
- increasing sediment storage and sediment sorting on stabilized bars; and,
- increasing riparian recovery on banks and bar tops.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations for remedial works are summarized in Table 7. Remedial works are only recommended for Colt Creek because access is excellent and further riparian disturbance would be minimal. Minor remedial works identified in Kobes Creek (Table1) do not justify disturbing the riparian area, however monitoring should continue until channel stabilization is achieved.

LITERATURE CITED

- Anderson, B. and P. MacMahon 1999. Colt Creek Restoration (1999) As-built Report. Unpubl. Report. BC Conservation Foundation, Prince George, BC and Aquatic Environmental Services, St. Albert, Alberta. Prepared for Canadian Forest Products Ltd., Fort St. John Division and Watershed Restoration Program, Forest Renewal BC, Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks, Fort St. John, BC.
- Gaboury, M. 2000. Level 2 Fish Habitat Prescriptions for Kobes Creek. Prepared for Lynx Consulting, Fort St. John, BC by LGL Limited, Sidney, BC. Report EA1319.
- Klohn-Crippen. 1997. Level 1 Watershed Assessments for Colt and Blue Grave Creeks. Report prepared by Klohn-Clippen, Richmond, BC for British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks, Watershed Restoration Program, Fort St. John, BC.
- LGL and CGL. 1999. Overview Assessment of Upper Kobes Creek Watershed for Fish and Riparian Habitat. Prepared for Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks, Fort St. John, BC by LGL Limited, Sidney, BC and Chapman Geoscience Ltd., Nanaimo, BC. Report EA1255.
- MacMahon, P. 2000. Colt Creek Fish Habitat Restoration Prescriptions. Report prepared by Aquatic Environmental Services for Canadian Forest Products Ltd., Fort St. John, BC.
- MacMahon, P. 2001. Colt Creek Instream Restoration As-built Report. Report prepared by Aquatic Environmental Services for Canadian Forest Products Ltd., Fort St. John, BC.
- Murray, B. and M. Gaboury. 2000. Kobes Creek Watershed Level 1 Fish Habitat Assessment. Prepared for Lynx Consulting, Fort St. John, BC by LGL Limited, Sidney, BC. Report EA1319.
- Murray, B. 2002. West Kobes Creek, Reach 4, Instream Restoration (2001) As-built Report. Unpubl. Report. LGL Limited Environmental Research Associates, Sidney, BC. Prepared for Lynx Consulting, Fort St. John, BC and Watershed Restoration Program, Forest Renewal BC though the BC Ministry of Water, Land, and Air Protection, Fort St. John, BC. Report EA 1489.
- Yazvenko, S., J. Ferguson and P.Kuntz. 2001. Overview Assessment of Colt Creek Watershed for Fish and Riparian Habitat. Draft report prepared by LGL Limited, Sidney, BC for Lynx Consulting, Fort St. John, BC.

TABLES

Table 1. Routine Effectiveness Evaluation Restoration Works Summary - Stream Component For Kobes Creek Reach 8.

MYA /AA Holder No. 000-1524

FRBC Activity No. 721549 Sub-watershed

Project Name: Constructed Works in Kobes Creek, Reach 8, 2000.

Watershed: Kobes Sub-watershed West Basin

Date: Aug 19, 2001

Survey Crew: BA / BM Weather / Flow: clear&dry / low Forest District: Fort St. John REE Interval: year one
Watershed Classification_____
In-stream Works Complete: N

| III Nobes C | , | 0, _ | | | | | | | | Pe | erfor | man | nce O | bjec | | | | | | | | | | Overal | rall | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|--|------|--------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-----|----------------|------------|------------|---------|---------|----------|------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | ı | 1 | | | | 1 | Phy | /sica | ıl . | - | | | | | В | iologic | al | | | | | | | | | |
| Stream | Distance | Site ID# | Structure Type | Site Objective | Pool | Riffle | Gravel Bar | Streambank | Stream Cover | Block Avulsion Ch | Overall rating | | Species | Life Stage | Overwinter | Rearing | Holding | Spawning | Incubation | Overall rating | Structural Condition | Structural Stability | High Flow Function | Low Flow Function | Maintenance Recommendation | Photo Numbers |
| Reach 8, Kobes | 0+260 to 0+287 | 260 | LWD-R | block avulsion channel, deflect flow | 4 | | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | | RB BT GR | J | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 1 (154-23A) |
| Reach 8, Kobes | 0+340 to 0+370 | 340 | LWD-A | overhead cover, bank protection | 3 | | | 4 | 4 | | 4 | | RB BT GR | J | | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 2 (154- 20/21A) |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 0+362 to 0+385 | 362 | LWD-D | block avulsion channel, deflect flow | | | | 4 | | 4 | 4 | | RB BT GR | J | | | | | | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 3 (154-17A) |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 0+820 to 0+880 | 820 | LWD-R | bank protection, overhead cover | | | | 3 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | | RB BT GR | J | | 2.5 | | | | 2.5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 4 (154-16A) |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 0+900 | 900 | LWD-D | Deflect flow, protect bank, provide overhead cover | 3 | | | 3 | 3 | | 3 | | RB BT GR | J | | 4 | 3 | 3 | | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 5 (154-12A) |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 0+925 | 925 | LWD-D | Deflect flow, protect bank, provide overhead cover | 4 | | | 4 | 4 | | 4 | | RB BT GR | J | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 6 (154-10A) |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 1+054 | 1054 | LWD-A | Overhead cover, scour pool | 4 | | | | 4 | | 4 | | RB BT GR | J | | 4 | 3 | 3 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 7 (154-7A) |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 1+147 | 1147 | LWD-A | Overhead | 4 | | | | 4 | | 4 | | RB BT GR | J | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 8 (154-5A) |

| Comments |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Franklant analaisa blash. Aran is also |
| Excellent avulsion block. Apex is also deflecting flow and placed 8 - 10 m US of |
| avulsion resulting in sediment accumulating a |
| entrance of avulsion. Catching debris, |
| providing rearing pool and spawning tail out |
| habitat. |
| unexpected bonus is 6m ² of spawning subtrate |
| created. |
| |
| excellent deflector, sediment is being trapped |
| on retards resulting in increase bar height. |
| Overall, functioning well to narrow channel. |
| adequately protecting bank, scour pools |
| showing signs of infilling which may be |
| temporary. |
| spawning gravels accumulating in tail out (<1. |
| m ²), scour depth to 0.5 m, moderate debris |
| accumulation. Better cover if further into |
| channel. |
| |
| adequately deflecting high flows, scour pool |
| depth to 0.98 m, moderate debris |
| accumulation, tail out gravel accumulation to |
| 3m ² |
| 2 m ² spawning gravels accum in tail out, |
| scour pool depth 1 m, debris accum small, |
| better cover if bole was buried in bank. |
| excellent pool development (A=44 m²), scour |

depth (1.2 m), abundant debris accum, tail out

gravel accum (30 m²).

Table 1. Routine Effectiveness Evaluation Restoration Works Summary - Stream Component For Kobes Creek Reach 8.

MYA /AA Holder No. 000-1524

Watershed: Kobes

Date: Aug 19, 2001

REE Interval: year one

FRBC Activity No. 721549

Sub-watershed West Basin

Watershed Classification___

Project Name: Constructed Works in Kobes Creek, Reach 8, 2000.

Weather / Flow: clear&dry / low Forest District: Fort St. John

Survey Crew: BA / BM

In-stream Works Complete: N

| | | - | | | Performance Objectives Physical Biological | | | | | | | | | Overall | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|---|--|--------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|--|----------------|------------|------------|---------|---------|----------|------------|----------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | 1 | ı | | | Phy | sica | I | - | | | | | В | iologic | al | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stream | Distance | Site ID# | Structure Type | Site Objective | Pool | Riffle | Gravel Bar | Streambank | Stream Cover | Block Avulsion Ch | Overall rating | | Species | Life Stage | Overwinter | Rearing | Holding | Spawning | Incubation | Overall rating | | Structural Condition | Structural Stability | High Flow Function | Low Flow Function | Maintenance Recommendation | Photo Numbers |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 1+240 to 1+255 | 1240 | LWD-R | Bank protection | | | | 4 | 3 | | 4 | | RB BT GR | J | 3 | | | | | | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | Photo 9 (154-2A) |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 1+270 to 1+293 | 1270 | LWD-A | Overhead cover, bank protection | 3 | | | 4 | 3 | | 3 | | RB BT GR | J | | 3 | 3 | 3 | | 3 | | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | Photo 10 (155- 23/25A) |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 1+381 to 1+395 | 1395 | LWD-A | Overhead cover, bank protection | 3 | | | 4 | 4 | | 3 | | RB BT GR | J | | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 11 (153- 13/14A) |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 1+503 | 1503 | LWD-A | Overhead cover, bank protection | | | | 3 | 3 | | 3 | | RB BT GR | J | | 3 | | | | 3 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 12 (169-32) |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 1+518 to 1+526 | 1518 | LWD-A | Deflect flow, provide overhead cover | 3 | | | | 3 | | 3 | | RB BT GR | J | | 3 | | | | 3 | | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 13 (153-12A) |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 1+549 | 1549 | LWD-R | Protect bank, provide cover | 3 | | | 4 | 3 | | 3 | | RB BT GR | J | | 3 | 3 | | | 3 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 14 (153-11A) |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 1+549 to 1+577 | 1577 | LWD-R | Protect bank, provide cover | 2.5 | | | 4 | 3 | | 3 | | RB BT GR | J | | 3 | 3 | 3 | | 3 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 15 (153- 9/10A) |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 1+578 to | 1608 | LWD-A | Overhead cover and scour pool | 3 | | | | 4 | | 3 | | RB BT GR | J | | 4 | 3 | | | 4 | | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | Photo 16 (153-8A) |

| | pank protection, structure sing SOD debris, overhead only. | cover a |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| hard point | g should be more diagonal t s should be placed at tail ou adcutting. Max scour depth | it to |
| accumula m²), pool (0.67 m). | ing spawning gravels at tail area (112 m ²), max scour de collecting debris and scour of ld debris racks at 1+410 m a | out (4 epth depth to |
| anchor, st Doing ver | n experiment, no boulders un cutures were pencilled into well structurally. Provides protecting bank. | bank. |
| debris car | deflector, additional benefits sture, added cover, scour po g underneath rootwad deflec | ool |
| good bonl | s protection and adequate re | orina |
| | our depth to 0.60 m. | earing |
| cover. So | s protection and adequate re our depth to 0.60 m. Some I sorting detected. | _ |
| | | |

Comments

Table 1. Routine Effectiveness Evaluation Restoration Works Summary - Stream Component For Kobes Creek Reach 8.

MYA /AA Holder No. 000-1524

Watershed: Kobes

Date: Aug 19, 2001

REE Interval: year one

FRBC Activity No. 721549

Sub-watershed West Basin

Watershed Classification

Project Name: Constructed Works

Weather / Flow: clear&dry / low Forest District: Fort St. John

Survey Crew: BA / BM

In-stream Works Complete: N

in Kobes Creek, Reach 8, 2000. Performar Physical Ch Block Avulsion Overall rating Stream Cover Streambank Riffle Gravel Structure Site Site Objective Stream Distance ID# Type 1+830 to Bank Reach 8, (4) 3 Kobes Creek 1+890 1830 LWD-R Protection 2+052 to Overhead Reach 8. 4 Kobes Creek 2+070 2052 LWD-A cover Overhead 2+135 to cover, Reach 8. 4 Kobes Creek 2+145 2135 LWD-A scour pool Overhead cover, Reach 8, 4 Kobes Creek 2+565 2565 LWD-A scour pool 4 Overhead Reach 8. cover, 4 3 Kobes Creek 2+579 2579 LWD-A scour pool Bank protection, 2+670 to overhead Reach 8. 4 Kobes Creek 2+687 2670 LWD-R cover 3 Overhead 2+810 to cover, Reach 8. 4 Kobes Creek 2+830 2810 LWD-A scour pool Overhead 2+910 to Reach 8, cover, (4 (4 Kobes Creek 2+922 2910 LWD-A scour pool Bank Reach 8, 3+650 to protection, 4 Kobes Creek 3+670 LWD-R overhead

3650

| nce C |)biec | tives | 3 | | | | | | | - | Overall | | |
|----------------|------------|------------|---------|---------|----------|------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | В | iologic | al | | | | | | | | | |
| Species | Life Stage | Overwinter | Rearing | Holding | Spawning | Incubation | Overall rating | Structural Condition | Structural Stability | High Flow Function | Low Flow Function | Maintenance Recommendation | Photo Numbers |
| RB BT GR | J | | 4 | 3 | 3 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | Photos 17&18 (153- 4A, 1A) |
| RB BT GR | J | | 4 | 3 | 3 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 19 (156-35A) |
| RB BT GR | J | | 4 | 3 | 4 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 20 (156-32A) |
| RB BT GR | J | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 21 (156- 27/28A) |
| RB BT GR | J | | 4 | 3 | 4 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 22 (156-24A) |
| RB BT GR | J | | 4 | 3 | | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 23 (156-17A) |
| RB BT GR | J | | 4 | 4 | 3 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 24 (156-15A) |
| RB BT GR | J | | 4 | 4 | 3 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 25 (156-12A) |
| RB BT GR | J | | 4 | 3 | 3 | | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 26 (152-36A) |

| | Comments |
|-------------------------|---|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | on behind RW#5 from DS end or tweeking. |
| iccus IIII | or tweeking. |
| | ted an abundant amount of addition |
| debris. | #: |
| | efits include: max scour depth (0.75 |
| | rea 35 m ² , woody cover 15 m ² , |
| spawning | gravel accum (10 m ²). |
| other bene | efits include: max scour depth (1.0 |
| | sed pool area (70) m ² , woody cover |
| | spawning gravel accum (8 m ²). |
| | development of spawning substrate |
| (12 m ²) cl | ose proximity to cover, scour depth |
| (0.65 m), l | Pool area (44 m²). |
| | |
| | |
| nool area | (81 m ²), scour depth (0.63 m) |
| | (100 m ²), max scour depth (0.85 m) |
| | vel close to cover, LB single log |
| | protecting LB point bar, functioning t |
| narrow ch | annel. |
| | bris captured on structure, pool area |
| | ax scour depth (1.0 m), sorted |
| | um close to cover. |
| | (80.8 m2), max depth (0.67 m), |
| moderate revetment | collection of debris, excellent |
| reveunent | |

Table 1. Routine Effectiveness Evaluation Restoration Works Summary - Stream Component For Kobes Creek Reach 8.

MYA /AA Holder No. 000-1524
FRBC Activity No. 721549
Project Name: Constructed Works

in Kobes Creek, Reach 8, 2000.

Watershed: Kobes Sub-watershed West Basin

Date: Aug 19, 2001

Weather / Flow: clear&dry / low Forest District: Fort St. John

Survey Crew: BA / BM

REE Interval: year one Watershed Classification____

Ν

Forest District: Fort St. John In-stream Works Complete:

| | | | | | | | | | | Pe | erfor | ma |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------|--------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|----|
| | | | | | | | Phy | /sica | ıl | | | |
| Stream | Distance | Site ID# | Structure Type | Site Objective | Pool | Riffle | Gravel Bar | Streambank | Stream Cover | Block Avulsion Ch | Overall rating | |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 3+680 | 3680 | BLD-G | Deflect flow, scour pool | 3 | | | 4 | 3 | | 3 | |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 3+690 | 3680 | BLD-G | Deflect flow, scour pool | 3 | | | 4 | 3 | | 3 | |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 3+700 | 3700 | BLD-G | Deflect flow, scour pool | 3 | | | 4 | 3 | | 3 | |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 3+750 to 3+752 | 3750 | BLD-C | Overhead cover, scour pool | 3 | | | | 3 | | 3 | |
| Reach 8, Kobes Creek | 3+790 to 3+795 | 3790 | BLD-C | Overhead cover, scour pool | 3 | | | | 3 | | 3 | |

| man | ce O | bjec | tives | 3 | | | | | l |
|-----|----------------|------------|------------|---------|---------|----------|------------|----------------|---|
| | | | В | iologic | al | | | | |
| | Species | Life Stage | Overwinter | Rearing | Holding | Spawning | Incubation | Overall rating | |
| | RB BT GR | ٦ | | 3 | | | | 3 | |
| | RB BT GR | J | | 3 | | | | 3 | |
| | RB BT GR | J | | 3 | | | | 3 | |
| | RB BT GR | J | | 4 | | | | 3 | |
| | RB BT GR | J | | 3 | | | | 3 | |

| | | (| Overall | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Structural Condition | Structural Stability | High Flow Function | Low Flow Function | Maintenance Recommendation | Photo Numbers |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 27 (152-34A) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 28 (152-33A) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 29 (152-32A) |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 30 (152-30A) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 30 (152-30A) |

| Comments |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| and and death (0.45 m) showed atchilings |
| max pool depth (0.45 m), channel stabilizing technique too early to evaluate. |
| , |
| max pool depth (0.40 m), channel stabilizing |
| technique too early to evaluate. |
| max pool depth (0.40 m), channel stabilizing |
| technique too early to evaluate. |
| |
| max pool depth (0.52 m) |
| max poor depuir (0.02 m) |
| |
| max pool depth (0.45 m) |

Table 2. Routine Effectiveness Evaluation Restoration Works Summary - Stream Component For Colt Creek.

MYA /AA Holder No. 000-1524 FRBC Activity No. 721549

Watershed: Colt Sub-watershed Date: 28 Sept 2001 Survey Crew: BA / BM Weather / Flow: clear&dry / low Forest District: Fort St. John

REE Interval: year one Watershed Classification_ In-stream Works Complete: Ν

Project Name: Constructed works in Colt Creek 1999, 2000.

| | | | | Performance Objectives Physical Biological | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--|------|--------|-------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|---|---------|------------|------------|---------|---------|----------|------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | al | | | | | |
| Stream | Distance | Site ID# | Structure Type | Site Objective | Pool | Riffle | Bar stabilization | Streambank | Stream Cover | Block Avulsion Ch | Overall rating | | Species | Life Stage | Overwinter | Rearing | Holding | Spawning | Incubation | Overall rating |
| Colt Reach 5 | 0+020 | 1 | LWD-R | Bank protection | | | | 4 | | | 4 | | ВТ | J | | | | | | |
| Colt Reach 5 | 0+045 | 1 | BIO-BAR | Bar stabilization | | | 4 | | | | 4 | | вт | J | | | | | | |
| Colt Reach 5 | 0+080 | 2 | BIO-BAR | Bar stabilization | | | 4 | | | | 4 | | вт | J | | | | | | |
| Colt Reach 5 | 0+120 | 2 | LWD-R | Bank protection | | | | 4 | | | 4 | | ВТ | J | | | | | | |
| Colt | | na | RWIER | provide rearing, holding | 3 | | | | 2.5 | | 2.5 | | вт | J | | | | | | 2 |
| Colt Reach 8 | 0+425 | 3 | RIFF | Back-water upstream structure | 4 | 4 | | | 4 | | 4 | | вт | J | | 4 | | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Colt Reach 8 | 0+440 | 3 | LWD-R | bank protection, overhead cover | 3 | | | 4 | 3 | | 3 | | вт | J | | 3 | 3 | 3 | | 3 |
| Colt Reach 8 | 0+785 | 4 | RIFF | Back-water upstream structure | 4 | 4 | | | 4 | | 4 | | вт | J | | 4 | | (3) | 4 | 4 |
| Colt Reach 8 | 0+795 | 4 | LWD-R | bank protection, overhead cover | 4 | | | 4 | 4 | | 4 | | вт | J | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Colt Reach 9 | 0+785 | 5 | RIFF | Back-water upstream structure | 4 | 4 | | | 4 | | 4 | į | ВТ | J | | 4 | | 3 | 4 | 4 |

| | Overall | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Structural Condition | Structural Stability | High Flow Function | Low Flow Function | Maintenance Recommendation | Photo Numbers | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 31 (150-15A) | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 32 (150- 12/13A) | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 33 (892- 14/15A) | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 34 (150-16A) | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | Photo 35 (150-21A) | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 36 (150- 24/25A) | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 36 (150- 24/25A) | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 37 (150-27A) | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 37 (150-27A) | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 38 (150-29A) | | | | | | | | |

| Comments |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| good deposition of fines on bar top, functioning |
| to narrow channel |
| |
| good deposition of fines on bar top, functioning |
| to narrow channel |
| |
| |
| poorly designed, tends to be an obstruction at |
| low flow |
| |
| riffle back-watering pool tail-out which is |
| accumulating suitable spawning gravel |
| |
| |
| sorted spawning gravel accum in tail out area |
| developing spawning substrate in upstream |
| pool tail out and riffle crest |
| |
| |
| max pool depth (0.95 m) |
| , |
| spawning substrate accumulating in pool tail- |
| out and riffle crest. |

Table 2. Routine Effectiveness Evaluation Restoration Works Summary - Stream Component For Colt Creek.

MYA /AA Holder No. 000-1524 FRBC Activity No. 721549 Project Name: Constructed

works in Colt Creek 1999, 2000.

Watershed: Colt Sub-watershed Date: 28 Sept 2001 Survey Crew: BA / BM Weather / Flow: clear&dry / low Forest District: Fort St. John REE Interval: year one
Watershed Classification_____
In-stream Works Complete: N

| | | | | | Performance Objectives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--|------------------------|--------|-------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|---|---------|------------|------------|---------|---------|----------|------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | Phy | sica | | | | | | | В | ologic | al | | | |
| Stream | Distance | Site ID# | Structure Type | Site Objective | Pool | Riffle | Bar stabilization | Streambank | Stream Cover | Block Avulsion Ch | Overall rating | | Species | Life Stage | Overwinter | Rearing | Holding | Spawning | Incubation | Overall rating |
| Colt Reach 9 | 0+800 | 5 | LWD-R | bank protection, overhead cover | 4 | | | 4 | 4 | | 4 | | ВТ | J | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Colt Reach 9 | 0+890 | 6 | RIFF | Back-water upstream structure | 3 | 2 | | | 2 | | 2 | | вт | J | | 2.5 | | 3 | | 2.5 |
| Colt Reach 9 | 0+900 | 6 | LWD-R | bank protection, overhead cover | 3 | | | 4 | 3 | | 3 | · | вт | J | | 3 | | | | 3 |
| Colt Reach 9 | | LT3 | LWD-A | Overhead cover and scour pool | 3 | | | 3 | 4 | | 3 | | вт | J | | 4 | | | | 4 |
| Colt Reach 9 | 0+980 | 7 | RIFF | Back-water upstream structure | 4 | 4 | | | 4 | | 4 | | вт | J | | 4 | | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Colt Reach 9 | 0+992 | 7 | LWD-R | Bank protection, overhead cover | 4 | | | 4 | 4 | | 4 | | вт | J | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Colt Reach 9 | 1+312 | 8 | RIFF | Back-water upstream structure | 3 | 4 | | | 4 | | 3 | | ВТ | J | | 4 | 3 | (3) | | 3 |
| Colt Reach 10 | 0+000 | 8 | LWD-R | Bank Protection | 4 | | | 4 | 4 | | 4 | | вт | J | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

| | | (| Overall | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Structural Condition | Structural Stability | High Flow Function | Low Flow Function | Maintenance Recommendation | Photo Numbers |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 38 (150-29A) |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | Photo 40 (150-32A) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | Photo 39 (150- 30/31A) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 41 (150-33A) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 42 (150-34A) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | Photo 43 (150- 35/36A) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 44 (148-1) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 45 (148-2) |

| Comments | |
|--|----|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| may pool don'th (0.60 m) | |
| max pool depth (0.60 m) | |
| | |
| riffle at risk of unraveiliing due to headcutting | |
| 5 | |
| to a string of the string of t | |
| tweeking required to add debris catcher and back water LWD structure | |
| Back Water EVVD directors | |
| | |
| | |
| moderate debris collection | |
| | |
| spawning in pool tail out and us side riffle cre | st |
| 3 | |
| | |
| buck off RW#4 and RW#7 for better pool | |
| habitat development | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| nice pool developed between RW#5 and RW#6 | |
| KVV#0 | |

Table 2. Routine Effectiveness Evaluation Restoration Works Summary - Stream Component For Colt Creek.

MYA /AA Holder No. 000-1524 FRBC Activity No. 721549 Watershed: Colt Sub-watershed Date: 28 Sept 2001 Survey Crew: BA / BM
Weather / Flow: clear&dry / low
Forest District: Fort St. John

REE Interval: year one
Watershed Classification_____
In-stream Works Complete: N

Project Name: Constructed works in Colt Creek 1999, 2000.

Performance Objectives Physical Biological Ch Bar stabilization **Block Avulsion** Overall rating Stream Cover Overall rating Streambank Overwinter Incubation Life Stage Spawning Species Rearing Holding Riffle Pool Site Structure Site Stream Distance ID# Type Objective Back-water Colt upstream Reach structure, tail-(3 3 4 (4)(3 вт 3 10 0+126 9 RIFF out control 3 Colt Overhead cover, Reach (4 4 4 4 LWD-R scour pool ВТ 10 0+140 9 4 4 Bank protection, Colt overhead Reach 3 4 (4) 4 LWD-A 3 ВТ 4 10 0+980 10 cover Bank protection, Colt overhead Reach 4 3 4 1+060 LWD-R 4 вт 3 10 11 cover Overhead Colt cover, Reach (4 4 3 3 1+280 12 LWD-A scour pool 4 вт 3 4 10 Overhead Colt Reach cover, (3 4 4 scour pool вт 3 3 10 1+300 13 LWD-A 3 Overhead Colt Reach cover, (3 3 4 вт 10 1+340 LWD-A scour pool 3 3 4 14 Colt Bank 2.5 Reach (3 (2 2 LWD-R protection ВТ 10 1+350 14

| | 1 | (| Overall | 1 | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Structural Condition | Structural Stability | High Flow Function | Low Flow Function | Maintenance Recommendation | Photo Numbers |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | Photos 46 (148-3) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 47 (148-4) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 48 (148-5) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 49 (148-7) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 50 (148-8) |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 51 (148-9) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 52 (148-11) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 53 (148-13/14) |

| | Comments |
|------------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 400 40 | while the mean had a declarate and a declarate |
| | urbulent can be better stepped, add |
| ooul | der to crest and apron |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | al a continuo a subserio della continuo della continuo della continuo della continuo della continuo della cont |
| _ | el sorting active in tail-out, active debris |
| captı | ıre |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| pool | scour at RW#4 and #5 is 0.60 m |
| pool | scour at RW#4 and #5 is 0.60 m |
| pool | scour at RW#4 and #5 is 0.60 m |
| | |
| activ | e gravel sorting and debris capture in |
| | e gravel sorting and debris capture in |
| activ | e gravel sorting and debris capture in |
| activ prog | e gravel sorting and debris capture in ress |
| activ prog | e gravel sorting and debris capture in |
| activ prog activ | e gravel sorting and debris capture in ress e debris capture in progress |
| activ prog activ | e gravel sorting and debris capture in ress e debris capture in progress e pool scour to 0.55 m, active debris |
| activ prog activ | e gravel sorting and debris capture in ress e debris capture in progress |
| activ prog activ | e gravel sorting and debris capture in ress e debris capture in progress e pool scour to 0.55 m, active debris |
| activ prog activ | e gravel sorting and debris capture in ress e debris capture in progress e pool scour to 0.55 m, active debris |

Table 2. Routine Effectiveness Evaluation Restoration Works Summary - Stream Component For Colt Creek.

MYA /AA Holder No. 000-1524 FRBC Activity No. 721549 Project Name: Constructed Watershed: Colt Sub-watershed Date: 28 Sept 2001 Survey Crew: BA / BM Weather / Flow: clear&dry / low Forest District: Fort St. John REE Interval: year one
Watershed Classification_____
In-stream Works Complete: N

works in Colt Creek 1999, 2000.

works in Colt Creek 1999, 2000

| | | | | | Performance Objectives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--|------------------------|--------|-------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|--|---------|------------|------------|---------|---------|----------|------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | Phy | sica | | | | | | | Bi | ologic | al | | | |
| Stream | Distance | Site ID# | Structure Type | Site Objective | Pool | Riffle | Bar stabilization | Streambank | Stream Cover | Block Avulsion Ch | Overall rating | | Species | Life Stage | Overwinter | Rearing | Holding | Spawning | Incubation | Overall rating |
| Colt Reach 10 | 1+511 | 15 | LWD-A | Overhead cover, scour pool | 4 | | | | 4 | | 4 | | вт | J | | 4 | | | | 4 |
| Colt Reach 10 | 1+523 | 15 | LWD-A | Bank protection, overhead cover | 4 | | | 4 | 4 | | 4 | | вт | J | | 4 | 4 | | | 4 |
| Colt Reach 11 | 0+560 | 16 | LWD-R | Bank protection | 3 | | | 4 | 3 | | 3 | | вт | J | | 3 | | | | 3 |

| | | | Overall | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| Structural Condition | Structural Stability | High Flow Function | Low Flow Function | Maintenance Recommendation | Photo Numbers |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Photo 54 (148-15) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | Photo 55 (148-16) |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 2.5 | 2 | Photo 56 (148-16) |

| Comments |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| bony substrate scour to 0.50 m, pool area |
| increasing, active debris collector |
| |
| scour to 0.6 m, debris accumulating, RB needs |
| bio-engineering-slippage behind structure, no |
| tail-out control. |
| |
| reef chould be called to DW support |
| roof should be cabled to RW support. |

Table 3. Summary of performance ratings for each structure type (\pm one standard deviation).

| Type of Structure | Revetment (LWD-R) | Lateral Jam (LWD-A) | Riffle (RIFF) | Deflector (LWD-D) | Boulder Groyne (BLD-G) | Boulder Cluster (BLD-C) | Bar Stabilizer (BIO-BAR) | Rock Weir (RWIER) |
|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Mean | 0.575 | 0.574 | 0.74 | 0.00 | | | | |
| Physical Performance | 3.575 | 3.571 | 3.71 | 3.66 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 2.5 |
| | ±0.545 | ±0.507 | ±0.756 | ±0.577 | $3.0 \pm 0.$ | $3.0 \pm 0.$ | $4.0 \pm 0.$ | 2.5 |
| Mean | 0.447 | 0.04 | 0.70 | 0.50 | | | | |
| Biological | 3.417 | 3.81 | 3.79 | 3.50 | | | | |
| Performance | ±0.549 | ±0.402 | ±0.567 | ±0.707 | $3.0 \pm 0.$ | $3.0 \pm 0.$ | na | 2 |
| Physical Performance | | | | | | | | |
| Sample Size | | | | | | | | |
| (n) | 20 | 21 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Biological | | | | | | | | |
| Performance | | | | | | | | |
| Sample Size | | | | | | | | |
| (n) | 18 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 2 | na | 1 |

Table 4. Maximum and residual pool depth, pool area and pool cover in Reach 8, Kobes Creek. Constructed works completed in September 2000 and monitored in 2000 and 2001. Monitoring survey dates: 23 July 2000 and 7 August 2001.

| Site | Structure | Bank | Maximum F | ool Depth (m) | Riffle Crest Depth (m) | | Residual | Depth (m) | Pool Ar | ea (m²) | Pool Co | ver (m²) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------------|------------------------|------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Location | Туре | Location | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 0+260 | LWD-R | RB | 0.48 | 0.90 | 0.30 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.79 | 55 | 68 | | 6 |
| 0+340 | LWD-A | RB | 0.76 | 0.60 | 0.28 | 0.11 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 58 | 79 | | 38 |
| 0+820 | LWD-R | RB | 0.34 | 0.60 | 0.37 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 110 | 36 | | 17 |
| 0+850 | LWD-R | RB | 0.31 | 0.40 | 0.37 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 69 | 21 | | 11 |
| 0+900 | LWD-D | LB | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.37 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.30 | 24 | 24 | | 4 |
| 0+925 | LWD-D | LB | 0.46 | 0.98 | 0.37 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.79 | 32 | 32 | | 6 |
| 1+054 | LWD-A | LB | 0.60 | 1.00 | 0.31 | 0.20 | 0.29 | 0.80 | 47 | 36 | | 4 |
| 1+147 | LWD-A | RB | 0.68 | 1.20 | 0.38 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 1.00 | 36 | 44 | | 16 |
| 1+270 | LWD-A | RB | 0.69 | 0.67 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 41 | 60 | | 30 |
| 1+381 | LWD-A | LB | 0.80 | 0.67 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 69 | 112 | | 45 |
| 1+503 | LWD-A | LB | | 0.37 | | 0.15 | | 0.22 | | 6 | | 3 |
| 1+518 | LWD-A | RB | 0.76 | 0.60 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.57 | 0.38 | 14 | 43 | | 19 |
| 1+549 | LWD-R | RB | 0.54 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 69 | 91 | | 27 |
| 1+585 | LWD-R | RB | 0.84 | 0.70 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.54 | 0.48 | 77 | 28 | | 17 |
| 1+830 | LWD-R | RB | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 44 | 185 | | 26 |
| 1+860 | LWD-R | RB | 0.59 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 61 | 136 | | 29 |
| 2+052 | LWD-A | RB | 0.64 | 0.67 | 0.38 | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.47 | 14 | 14 | | 8 |
| 2+135 | LWD-A | LB | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.38 | 0.55 | 83 | 35 | | 15 |
| 2+565 | LWD-A | RB | 0.80 | 0.60 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.48 | 0.40 | 69 | 70 | 21 | 45 |
| 2+670 | LWD-R | RB | 0.86 | 0.63 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.56 | 0.43 | 52 | 81 | | 27 |
| 2+810 | LWD-A | LB | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 47 | 100 | | 32 |
| 2+910 | LWD-A | RB | 0.76 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.52 | 0.75 | 44 | 33 | | 20 |
| 3+650 | LWD-R | RB | 1.00 | 0.67 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.80 | 0.49 | 63 | 81 | 25 | 29 |
| 3+680 | BLD-G | RB | na | 0.40 | na | 0.10 | na | 0.30 | na | 20 | na | na |
| 3+690 | BLD-G | RB | na | 0.40 | na | 0.10 | na | 0.30 | na | 8 | na | na |
| 3+700 | BLD-G | RB | na | 0.45 | na | 0.10 | na | 0.35 | na | 2 | na | na |
| 3+750 | BLD-C | MC | na | 0.45 | na | 0.10 | na | 0.35 | na | 4 | na | na |
| 3+790 | BLD-C | MC | na | 0.52 | na | 0.08 | na | 0.44 | na | 5 | na | na |

Table 5. Summary of fish captured at structures in Reach 8, West Kobes Creek, 22 August 2001.

| Location 1 | Туре | | | Sample | Salmonids Captured | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|--------|------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------|-------------|------------------|---------|--|
| (chainage | of | Survey | Pass | Time | Rainbow Trout | | Arctic Grayling | | | | Sculpin | |
| in m) | Structure | Method | No. | (seconds) | Number | Length (cm) | CPE ² | Number | Length (cm) | CPE ² | Number | |
| 0+340 | LWD-A | EF | 1 | 623 | 5 | 9, 13, 15, 16, 20 | 29 | | | | 1 | |
| 0+340 | LWD-A | VO | | | 2 | <10, 25 | | | | | | |
| 0+820 | LWD-R | EF | 1 | | 5 | 11,13.5,13.5,13.5,15 | | | | | 1 | |
| 0+820 | LWD-R | VO | | | 2 | 20, <10 | | | | | | |
| 0+900 | LWD-D | VO | | | 2 | 10, 15 | | | | | | |
| 0+925 | LWD-D | EF | 1 | 138 | 1 | 13 | 26 | | | | | |
| 1+054 | LWD-A | EF | 1 | 154 | 3 | 20, 15, 10 | 70 | | | | 1 | |
| 1+147 | LWD-A | EF | 3 | 344 | 4 | 25, 15, 10, 8 | 42 | | | | 2 | |
| 1+270 | LWD-A | EF | 1 | 467 | 4 | 18, 8.5, 13.5, 11 | 31 | 1 | 21 | 8 | 2 | |
| 1+395 | LWD-A | EF | 1 | 123 | 1 | 16 | 29 | | | | 1 | |
| 1+395 | LWD-A | EF | 2 | 242 | 1 | 14 | 15 | | | | 1 | |
| 1+395 | LWD-A | EF | 3 | 353 | 1 | 16 | 10 | | | | | |
| 1+395 | LWD-A | VO | | | 1 | 20 | | | | | 2 | |
| 1+503 | LWD-A | EF | 1 | 44 | 1 | 12 | 82 | | | | | |
| 1+503 | LWD-A | EF | 2 | 40 | 1 | 15 | 90 | | | | | |
| 1+503 | LWD-A | EF | 3 | 41 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | |
| 1+518 | LWD-A | EF | 1 | 38 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | |
| 1+518 | LWD-A | EF | 2 | 33 | 3 | 12, 12.5, 14 | 327 | | | | | |
| 1+518 | LWD-A | EF | 3 | 42 | 0 | 12, 12, 11 | 0 | | | | | |
| 1+549 | LWD-R | EF | 1 | 393 | 2 | 16.5, 12.5 | 18 | | | | 13 | |
| to | | EF | 2 | 373 | 4 | 13.5, 12, 15, 15 | 39 | | | | 11 | |
| 1+608 | LWD-A | EF | 3 | 395 | 1 | 19 | 9 | | | | 4 | |
| | | EF | 4 | 324 | 0 | | 0 | | | | 3 | |
| 1+830 | LWD-R | EF | 1 | 376 | 4 | 13.5, 15.5, 14, 11, | 38 | | | | 1 | |
| 1+830 | LWD-R | EF | 2 | 331 | 4 | 17, 15, 18, 12 | 44 | | | | 3 | |
| 1+830 | LWD-R | EF | 3 | 261 | 2 | 10, 12 | 28 | 1 | 26 | 14 | 3 | |
| 1+830 | LWD-R | EF | 4 | 189 | 2 | 14, 16 | 38 | | | | 1 | |
| 1+830 | LWD-R | VO | | | 3 | 30, 30, 16 | | | | | | |
| 2+135 | LWD-A | EF | 1 | 58 | 2 | 16, 12 | 124 | | | | | |
| 2+135 | LWD-A | EF | 2 | 70 | 1 | 13 | 51 | | | | | |
| 2+135 | LWD-A | EF | 3 | 14 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | |
| 2+579 | LWD-A | EF | 1 | 116 | 1 | 16 | 31 | | | | | |
| 2+579 | LWD-A | EF | 2 | 135 | 2 | 17, 12 | 53 | | | | | |
| 2+579 | LWD-A | EF | 3 | 145 | 1 | 17 | 25 | | | | | |
| 2+579 | LWD-A | EF | 4 | 119 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | |
| 2+670 | LWD-R | EF | 1 | 114 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | |
| 2+670 | LWD-R | EF | 2 | 115 | 0 | | 0 | | | | 1 | |
| 2+670 | LWD-R | EF | 3 | 87 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | |
| 2+810 | LWD-A | EF | 1 | 96 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | |
| 2+810 | LWD-A | EF | 2 | 101 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | |
| 2+810 | LWD-A | EF | 3 | 80 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | |
| 2+810 | LWD-A | VO | | | 1 | 11 | | | | | 2 | |
| 2+910 | LWD-A | EF | 1 | 96 | 1 | 10 | 38 | 1 | 24 | 38 | | |
| 2+910 | LWD-A | EF | 2 | 87 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | |
| 2+910 | LWD-A | VO | | | 1 | 16 | | | | | 1 | |
| 3+650 | LWD-R | EF | 1 | 195 | 2 | 13.5, 11.5 | 37 | | | | 3 | |
| 3+650 | LWD-R | EF | 2 | 145 | 1 | 11 | 25 | | | | 2 | |
| 3+650 | LWD-R | EF | 3 | 138 | 0 | | 0 | | | | 2 | |

¹ Location chainage is distance upstream of reach break between Reach 7 and Reach 8. ² CPE is number of salmonids caught per hour of effort

Table 6. Summary of fish survey at restoration structures in Colt Creek, 9 September 2001.

| | Location 1 | | | Sample | Salmonids Captured | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------|--------|------|--------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|--------|------------|------------------|--------|
| Site | (chainage in | Survey | Pass | Time | R | ainbow Tro | ut | | Sculpin | | |
| Number | m) | Method | No. | | Number | Length (cm) | CPE ² | Number | Length (cm | CPE ² | Number |
| 1 (LWD-R) | 0+020 (R 5) | EF | 1 | 137 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 156 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 128 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 3 (LWD-R) | 0+440 (R 8) | EF | 1 | 128 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 123 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 113 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 4 (LWD-R) | 0+795 (R 8) | EF | 1 | 108 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 116 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 91 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 4 (RIFF) | 0+785 (R 8) | EF | 1 | 62 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 42 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 44 | 0 | | 0 | 1 | 14.5 | 82 | 0 |
| | | | 4 | 53 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 5 | 64 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 5 (LWD-R) | 0+800 (R 9) | EF | 1 | 156 | 0 | | 0 | 2 | 14, 25 | 46 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 187 | 0 | | 0 | 2 | 25.5, 26 | 39 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 153 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 6 (LWD-R) | 0+900 (R 9) | EF | 1 | 140 | 1 | 18.5 | 26 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 106 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 109 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 7 (LWD-R) | 0+992 (R 9) | EF | 1 | 148 | 1 | 21 | 24 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 137 | 0 | | 0 | 1 | 21 | 26 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 137 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 8 (LWD-R) | 0+000 (R10) | EF | 1 | 106 | 0 | | 0 | 1 | 21 | 34 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 96 | 0 | | 0 | 1 | 20 | 38 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 97 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 9 (LWD-R) | 0+140 (R10) | EF | 1 | 100 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 105 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 95 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 10 (LWD-A) | 0+980 (R10) | EF | 1 | 33 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 40 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 36 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |

Table 6. Summary of fish survey at restoration structures in Colt Creek, 9 September 2001.

| | Location 1 | | | Sample | Salmonids Captured | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------|--------|------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|--------|------------|------------------|--------|
| Site | (chainage in | Survey | Pass | Time | Rainbow Trout | | | | Sculpin | | |
| Number | m) | Method | No. | (seconds) | Number | Length (cm) | CPE ² | Number | Length (cm | CPE ² | Number |
| 11 (LWD-R) | 1+060 (R10) | EF | 1 | 66 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 71 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 67 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 12 (LWD-A) | 1+280 (R10) | EF | 1 | 18 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 14 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 15 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 13 (LWD-A) | 1+300 (R10) | EF | 1 | 44 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 49 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 41 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 14 (LWD-A) | 1+340 (R10) | EF | 1 | 15 | 0 | | 0 | 1 | 15 | 240 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 28 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 15 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 14 (LWD-R) | 1+350 (R10) | EF | 1 | 67 | 0 | | 0 | 1 | 13 | 54 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 67 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 54 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 15 (LWD-A) | 1+511 (R10) | EF | 1 | 26 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 16 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 14 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 15 (LWD-A) | 1+523 (R10) | EF | 1 | 30 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 36 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 19 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 16 (LWD-R) | 0+560 (R11) | EF | 1 | 78 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 2 | 66 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | 3 | 63 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |

¹ Location chainage is distance upstream of reach break.

² CPE is number of salmonids caught per hour of effort

Table 7. Summary of remedial work recommendations.

| - all - Calling - Called a Work 1999 in included on the Calling and the Callin | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------|------------------|---------|--|---------------------------|----------|--|------------|--|--|
| | Vinorie | Distan | Sile 10 | Mainten | Score Arobiem/Fig. | Estimated Cost of Cost | Person C | Malerials and Religions Are I former. | Prescribit | | |
| 1 | Colt, Reach 9 | 0+890 | Site 6 RIFF | 1 | riffle unravelling do to headcutting. Add boulders | \$5,000 | 4 | Cat E120B and 30 m ³ boulders | No | | |
| 2 | Colt, Reach 9 | 0+900 | Site 6 LWD-R | 2 | Tweeking required to add debris catcher and back water LWD structure. | \$3,000 | 2 | Cat E120B, 4 logs, drill, epoxy, cable, 8 boulders | No | | |
| 3 | Colt, Reach 10 | 0+126 | Site 9 RIFF | 2 | should be better stepped. Add boulders to crest and apron. | \$5,000 | 2 | Cat E120B and 20 m ³ boulders | No | | |
| 4 | Colt, Reach 10 | 1+523 | Site 15 LWD-A | 2 | patch-work, bank slippage behind structure. No tailout contol. | \$10,000 | 4 | Cat E120B and 10 m ³ boulders | No | | |

FIGURES




Figure 1. Location of routine effectiveness evaluation (REE) in Kobes Creek, August 2001.




Figure 2. Location of routine effectiveness evaluations (REE) in Colt Creek, August 2001.

Figure 3. Summary of performance ratings across all structure types (\pm one standard deviation).




Figure 4. Summary of performance ratings for individual structure types (± one standard deviation)




PHOTO PLATES



Photo 1. Downstream view of LWD-R (LBJ5) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 0+260 m (N 56°19.944 W 122°23.999). Photo ref. 154-23A, 24 Sept 2001.



Photo 2. Downstream view of LWD-A (LT7) on RB West Kobes Creek at chainage 0+340 m (N 56°19.900 W 122°24.001). Photo ref. 154-20/21A, 24 Sept 2001.